## Quantum Structures I-III

Anatolij DVUREČENSKIJ

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, SK-814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia E-mail: dvurecen@mat . savba. sk Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Sciences, Palacký University, tř. 17. listopadu 1192/12,
The talk given at the SSIU 2012: International Summer School Information and
Uncertainty Palacky Univ. Olomouc, May 4-8, 2012.
meta-QUTE ITMS 26240120022, and by CZ.1.07/2.3.00/20.0051

## Uncertainty

## Uncertainty

- uncertainty in a common life


## Uncertainty

- uncertainty in a common life
- emperor, fortuna teller, Sybile, Delft


## Uncertainty

- uncertainty in a common life
- emperor, fortuna teller, Sybile, Delft
- hazard game, New Testament


## Uncertainty

- uncertainty in a common life
- emperor, fortuna teller, Sybile, Delft
- hazard game, New Testament
- playing cards, urn games, intuitive probability, combinations, variations, permutations with/without ordering


## Uncertainty

- uncertainty in a common life
- emperor, fortuna teller, Sybile, Delft
- hazard game, New Testament
- playing cards, urn games, intuitive probability, combinations, variations, permutations with/without ordering
- false tie


## Kolmogorov, probability theory, 1933
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- the mapping $x(E):=f^{-1}(E): \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a $\sigma$-homomorphism preserving $\emptyset, x(\mathbb{R})=\Omega$, complements, and countable unions.
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$$
f(\omega)= \begin{cases}\inf \left\{r_{j}: \omega \in x_{r_{j}}\right\} & \text { if } \omega \in \bigcup_{n} A_{n}, \\ 0 & \text { if } \omega \notin \bigcup_{n} A_{n} .\end{cases}
$$
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- for classical mechanics

$$
\inf _{s}\left(\sigma_{s}(x) \sigma_{s}(y)\right)=0
$$

- Hilbert, 1900, inspired by the axiomatical system of geometry by Euclideus, formulated his Sixth Problem as follows:
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- To find a few physical axioms that, similar to the axioms of geometry, can describe a theory for a class of physical events that is as large as possible.
- G. Birkhoff and J. von Neumann, 1936 quantum logic
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## Boolean Algebras

A system $A=\left(A ; \vee, \wedge^{\prime}, 0,1\right)$ is a Boolean algebra if type $(2,2,1,0,0)$ if for all $a, b, c \in A$ we have

1. $a \vee b=b \vee a, a \wedge b=b \wedge a$ (commutativity)
2. $(a \vee b) \vee c=a \vee(b \vee c),(a \wedge b) \wedge c=a \wedge(b \wedge c)$
(associativity)
3. $a \vee(b \wedge c)=(a \vee b) \wedge(a \vee c)$,
$a \wedge(b \vee c)=(a \wedge b) \vee(a \wedge c)$ (distributivity)
4. $a \vee a^{\prime}=1, a \wedge a^{\prime}=0$
5. $a \wedge 1=a=a \vee 0$
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on $A, \leq$ : (i) $a \leq a$, (ii) $a \leq b, b \leq a$ then $a=b$, (iii) $a \leq b, b \leq c, a \leq c$

- We define $a \leq b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a=a \wedge b$
- $\leq$ partial ordering on $A$, (i) $0 \leq a \leq 1$. (ii) $c=a \wedge b$ iff $c \leq a, b$, and if $d \leq a, b$, then $d \leq c$ (greatest lower bound)
- $e=a \vee b$ iff $a, b \leq e$, if $a, b \leq f$, then $e \leq f$ (least upper bound)
- $A$ is a distributive lattice
- $(a \vee b)^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \wedge b^{\prime},(a \cdot \wedge b)^{\prime}=\cdot a^{\prime} \vee \cdot b^{\prime}($ De Morgan $)$
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- Let $\Omega$-topological space, $\mathcal{A}$ - the set of all clopen subsets.
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## Stone Theorem

- state $\mathcal{S}(A) \neq \emptyset$ ?
- two-valued state=extremal state;
$s=\lambda s_{1}+(1-\lambda) s_{2}$ for $\lambda \in(0,1)$ then
$s=s_{1}=s_{2}$. Ext $(A)$ - extremal states $\neq \emptyset$
- state $s$ is extremal iff $\operatorname{Ker}(s)=$ $\{a \in A: s(a)=0\}$ is a maximal ideal
- a net $s_{\alpha} \rightarrow s$ iff $s_{\alpha}(a) \rightarrow s(a)$ for any $a \in A$; $\mathrm{S}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}(A)$ are compact Hausdorff topological spaces.
- a topological space $\Omega$ is totally disconnected if there exists a base consisting of clop"en sets.

Theorem 0.1 (Stone Theorem) Every Boolean algebra $A=\left(A ; \vee, \wedge,{ }^{\prime}, 0,1\right)$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of a compact, totally disconnected Hausdorff topological space (= Stone space).
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Theorem 0.4 (Loomis-Sikorski) Every Boolean $\sigma$-algebra is a $\sigma$-homomorphic image of a $\sigma$-algebra of sets.
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- Connection with basically disconnected spaces:
- Every Boolean algebra has lot of states, determining system of states
- there is a Boolean $\sigma$-algebra having plenty finitely additive states but no $\sigma$-additive state.
- Connection with basically disconnected spaces:
- $X$ is said to be basically disconnected provided the closure of every open $F_{\sigma}$ subset of $X$ is open.
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## Quantum Structures

1. orthomodular poset $L=\left(L ; \leq,{ }^{\perp}, 0,1\right)$ and a unary operation ${ }^{\perp}$, called an orthocomplementation such that, for all $a, b \in L$, we have
(i) $a^{\perp \perp}=a$;
(ii) $b^{\perp} \leq a^{\perp}$ whenever $a \leq b$;
(iii) $a \vee a^{\perp}=1$;
(iv) $a \vee b \in L$ whenever $a \leq b^{\perp}$;
(v) $b=a \vee\left(b \wedge a^{\perp}\right)$ whenever $a \leq b$ (orthomodular law).
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- $L(H)$ complete orthomodular lattice
- state

$$
s_{x}(M)=\left\|x_{M}\right\|^{2}, \quad x=x_{M}+x_{M^{\perp}} .
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E(S)=\left\{M \subseteq S: M+M^{\perp}=S\right\} \\
F(S)=\left\{M \subseteq S: M^{\perp \perp}=M\right\}
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- $S$ is complete iff $E(S)=F(S)$
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- $S$ is complete iff $F(S)$ admits a $\sigma$-additive state
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- joint distribution of $x, y$ in a state $s$ : $m: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$ s.t. $m(E \times F)=s(x(E) \wedge y(F)) E, F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$
- joint distribution of $x, y$ exists in a state $s$ iff $s(\operatorname{com}(x, y))=1$
- $L(H), A \leftrightarrow B$ iff $P_{A} P_{B}=P_{B} P_{A}$
- observable for $L(H)$ - spectral measure, corresponds to Hermitian operators (bounded observable) or self-adjoint operators
- $A, B$ hermitian operators are compatible iff $A B=B A$
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## States and Greechie Diagrams

- $s: L \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a state if $s(1)=1$ and $s(a \vee b)=s(a)+s(b)$ if $a \perp b$.
- $\mathcal{S}(L)$ is it nonempty ?
- $\mathcal{B}$ - the system of finite Boolean algebras s.t. if $A \neq B$ then $A \cap B=\{0,1\}$ or $A \cap B=\left\{0, x, x^{\perp}, 1\right\} x$ - atom, is said to be almost disjoint
- finite sequence $\left\{B_{0}, \ldots, B_{n-1}\right\}$ from $\mathcal{B}$ is a loop of order $n(n \geq 3)$ if
- (i) $\forall i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we have
$B_{i} \cap B_{i+1}=\left\{0,1, x^{\circ}, x^{\perp}\right\} x$ àtom in both BAAs
(ii) if $j \notin\{i-1, i, i+1\}, B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\{0,1\}$
- (ii) if $j \notin\{i-1, i, i+1\}, B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\{0,1\}$
- $B_{i} \cap B_{j} \cap B_{k}=\{0,1$,
(ii) if $j \notin\{i-1, i, i+1\}, B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\{0,1\}$
- $B_{i} \cap B_{j} \cap B_{k}=\{0,1$,
- Theorem 0.7 If $\mathcal{B}$ is a system of almost disjoint system of BAs, then $L=\bigcup\{B: B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is (1) an OMP iff $\mathcal{B}$ doesn't contain any loop of order 3
- (ii) if $j \notin\{i-1, i, i+1\}, B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\{0,1\}$
- $B_{i} \cap B_{j} \cap B_{k}=\{0,1$,
- Theorem 0.8 If $B$ is a system of almost disjoint system of BAs, then $L=\bigcup\{B: B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is (1) an OMP iff $\mathcal{B}$ doesn't contain any loop of order 3
- (2) is an OML iff $\mathcal{B}$ does not contain neither a loop of order 3 nor a loop of order 4.
- (ii) if $j \notin\{i-1, i, i+1\}, B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\{0,1\}$
- $B_{i} \cap B_{j} \cap B_{k}=\{0,1$,
- Theorem 0.9 If $\mathcal{B}$ is a system of almost disjoint system of BAs, then $L=\bigcup\{B: B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is (1) an OMP iff $\mathcal{B}$ doesn't contain any loop of order 3
- (2) is an OML iff $\mathcal{B}$ does not contain neither a loop of order 3 nor a loop of order 4.
- There is a finite stateless OMP
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- orthoalgebra $(A ;+, 0,1$,
- If $a+b$ is defined, then $b+a$ is defined and $a+b=b+a$ (commutativity).
- $f a+b$ and $(a+b)+c$ are defined, then $b+c$ and $a+(b+c)$ are defined, and $(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$ (associativity).
- For every $a \in A$ there is a unique $b \in A$ such that $a+b$ is defined and $a+b=1$ (orthocomplementation).
- If $a+a$ is defined, then $a=0$ (consistency).
- if $a+b=1, a^{\prime}:=b$ orthocomplement
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- if $a+b=1, a^{\prime}:=b$ orthocomplement
- $a \leq b$ iff $a+c=b$ for some $c \in A$
- An orthoalgebra is an OMP iff
- $a+b$ exists, then so does $a \vee b$, and
$a+b=a \vee b$
- if $a+b=1, a^{\prime}:=b$ orthocomplement
- $a \leq b$ iff $a+c=b$ for some $c \in A$
- An orthoalgebra is an OMP iff
- $a+b$ exists, then so does $a \vee b$, and $a+b=a \vee b$
- or iff $a+b, b+c$ and $a+c$ exist, then $a+b+c$ is defined in $A$
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Fig. 4.1

- The experiment A: Look at the front window. The experiment B: Look at the side window. The outcomes of A and B are:
- See a light in the left half $\left(l_{A}, l_{B}\right)$, right half $\left(r_{A}, r_{B}\right)$ of the window or see no light $\left(n_{A}, n_{B}\right)$. It is clear that $n_{A}=n_{B}=: n$ and we put $l_{A}=: l, r_{A}=: r, l_{B}=: f, r_{B}=: b$ ( $f$ for the front, $b$ for the back)
- See a light in the left half $\left(l_{A}, l_{B}\right)$, right half ( $r_{A}, r_{B}$ ) of the window or see no light $\left(n_{A}, n_{B}\right)$. It is clear that $n_{A}=n_{B}=: n$ and we put $l_{A}=: l, r_{A}=: r, l_{B}=: f, r_{B}=: b$ ( $f$ for the front, $b$ for the back)


Fig. 4.2
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## Three-chamber box
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## Three-chamber box



Fig. 4.5

- three experiments, corresponding to the three windows $A, B$ and $C$. we record $l_{E}, r_{E}, n_{E}$ if we see, respectively, a light to the left, right, of the center line or no light.


Fig. 4.6 Wright triangle
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## Effect algebra $E=(E ;+, 0,1)$

(EAi) if $a+b \in L$, then $b+a \in L$ and $a+b=b+a$ (commutativity);
(EAii) if $b+c \in L$ and $a+(b+c) \in L$, then
$a+b \in L$ and $(a+b)+c \in L$, and
$a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c$ (associativity);
(EAiii) for any $a \in L$ there is a unique $b \in L$ such that $a+b$ is defined, and $a+b=1$ (orthocomplementation)
(EAiv) if $1+a$ is defined, then $a=0$ (zero-one law).
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## Examples

$[0,1]+$ restricted from $[0,1]$
po-group ( $G ; \leq,+,-, 0$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \leq b \quad \rightarrow \quad a+c \leq b+c \\
E=([0, u] ;+, 0, u),
\end{gathered}
$$

interval EA: $E:=\Gamma(G, u)$
state $s(a+b)=s(a)+s(b)$ if $a+b \in E$,

$$
s(1)=1 \text {. }
$$

## RDP
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- (RDP): If $c \leq a+b \exists a_{1}, b_{1} \in M$ such that $a_{1} \leq a, b_{1} \leq b$ and $c=a_{1}+b_{1}$.
- $a_{1}+a_{2}=b_{1}+b_{2}, \exists c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22} \in M$ s.t. $a_{1}=c_{11}+c_{12}, a_{2}=c_{21}+c_{22}, b_{1}=c_{11}+c_{21}$, and $b_{2}=c_{21}+c_{22}$.
- $u \in G^{+}$is a strong unit if, $\forall g \in G, \exists n \geq 1$, s.t. $g \leq n u,(G, u)$-unital po-group
- equivalently: $G=\bigcup_{n}[-n u, n u]$
- $G$ - interpolation group whenever

$$
a_{1}, a_{2} \leq b_{1}, b_{2} \exists c \in G \text { s.t. } a_{1}, a_{2} \leq c \leq b_{1}, b_{2}
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- Ravindran: if EA $M$ satisfies RDP, then there is a unique unital interpolation po-group ( $G, u$ ) s.t. $M=\Gamma(G, u)$,
- Moreover, there is a categorical equivalence between the category of AEs with RDP and interpolation Abelian unital po-groups ( $G, u$ )
- state $s$ on $(G, u): s: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $s\left(G^{+}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+}$, $s(u)=1, s\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)=s\left(g_{1}\right)+s\left(g_{2}\right)$
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- Ravindran: if EA $M$ satisfies RDP, then there is a unique unital interpolation po-group ( $G, u$ ) s.t. $M=\Gamma(G, u)$,
- Moreover, there is a categorical equivalence between the category of AEs with RDP and interpolation Abelian unital po-groups ( $G, u$ )
- state $s$ on $(G, u): s: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $s\left(G^{+}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{+}$, $s(u)=1, s\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)=s\left(g_{1}\right)+s\left(g_{2}\right)$
- $\mathcal{S}(G, u)$, there is 1-1 correspondence between $\mathcal{S}(\Gamma(G, u))$ and $\mathcal{S}(G, u)$
- every interval EA has a state •
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- Ulam-game, Pinocchio, Game with black-and white marbles, error correcting codes.
- set, fuzzy set $f: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1], f: \Omega \rightarrow\{0,1\}$. is an algebra $M=\left(M ; \oplus, \odot,{ }^{*}, 0,1\right)$ of type $(2,2,1,0,0)$ such that, for all $a, b, c \in M$, we have
(i) $a \oplus b=b \oplus a$;
(ii) $(a \oplus b) \oplus c=a \oplus(b \oplus c)$;
(iii) $a \oplus 0=a$;
(iv) $a \oplus 1=1$;
(v) $\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}=a$;
(vi) $a \oplus a^{*}=1$;
(vii) $0^{*}=1$;
(viii) $\left(a^{*} \oplus b\right)^{*} \oplus b=\left(a \oplus b^{*}\right)^{*} \oplus a$.
(i) $a \oplus b=b \oplus a$;
(ii) $(a \oplus b) \oplus c=a \oplus(b \oplus c)$;
(iii) $a \oplus 0=a$;
(iv) $a \oplus 1=1$;
(v) $\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}=a$;
(vi) $a \oplus a^{*}=1$;
(vii) $0^{*}=1$;
(viii) $\left(a^{*} \oplus b\right)^{*} \oplus b=\left(a \oplus b^{*}\right)^{*} \oplus a$.

1. $a \vee b=\left(a^{*} \oplus b\right)^{*} \oplus b . M$ is a distributive lattice

- If $A=\left(A ; \vee, \wedge,,^{\prime}, 0,1\right)$ is a Boolean algebra, then $\left(A ; \oplus, \odot,^{*}, 0,1\right)$, where $\oplus=\vee, \odot=\wedge$, * $=^{\prime}$, is an MV -algebra
- If $A=\left(A ; \vee, \wedge,{ }^{\prime}, 0,1\right)$ is a Boolean algebra, then $\left(A ; \oplus, \odot,^{*}, 0,1\right)$, where $\oplus=\vee, \odot=\wedge$, * $=^{\prime}$, is an MV-algebra
- Bold algebra $\mathcal{F} \subseteq[0,1]^{\Omega}$ (i) $1 \in \mathcal{F}$, (ii) $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $1-f \in \mathcal{F}$, (iii) $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, and

$$
(f \oplus g)(\omega):=\min \{f(\omega)+g(\omega), 1\}, \omega \in \Omega,
$$

then $f \oplus g \in \mathcal{F}$.

$$
(f \odot g)(\omega):=\max \{0,(f(\omega)+g(\omega)-1)\}
$$

- Let $(G,+, 0, \leq)$ be an $\ell$-group, i.e. a group such that if $a \leq b, a, b \in G$, then for any $c \in G$, $c+a \leq c+b$, and $G$ is a lattice.
- Let $(G,+, 0, \leq)$ be an $\ell$-group, i.e. a group such that if $a \leq b, a, b \in G$, then for any $c \in G$, $c+a \leq c+b$, and $G$ is a lattice.
- $u>0$ is strong unit if given $g \in G$, there is $n \geq 1$ such that $g \leq n u$.
- Let $(G,+, 0, \leq)$ be an $\ell$-group, i.e. a group such that if $a \leq b, a, b \in G$, then for any $c \in G$, $c+a \leq c+b$, and $G$ is a lattice.
- $u>0$ is strong unit if given $g \in G$, there is $n \geq 1$ such that $g \leq n u$.
- $(G, u)$ l-group with strong unit.
- Let $(G,+, 0, \leq)$ be an $\ell$-group, i.e. a group such that if $a \leq b, a, b \in G$, then for any $c \in G$, $c+a \leq c+b$, and $G$ is a lattice.
- $u>0$ is strong unit if given $g \in G$, there is $n \geq 1$ such that $g \leq n u$.
- $(G, u)$ l-group with strong unit.
- $\Gamma(G, u)=[0, u]$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \oplus b=(a+b) \wedge u, a, b \in \Gamma(G, u), \\
a \odot b=0 \vee(a+b-u), a, b \in \Gamma(G, u)
\end{gathered}
$$
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- Mundici, $M \cong \Gamma(G, u)$.
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- ( $M ;+{ }^{*}, 0,1$ ) is an effect algebra (MV-effect algebra) with RDP which is lattice ordered (and distributive)
- Every lattice ordered EA with RDP is an MV-effect algebra
- $a, b$ EA compatible: $\exists a_{1}, b_{1}, c$ such that $a=a_{1}+c, b=b_{1}+c$ and $a_{1}+b_{1}+c$ exists in $E$, block
- M MV-algebra, partial addition $a+b$ is defined in $M$ iff $a \leq b^{*}$ iff $a \odot b=0$, then $a+b:=a \oplus b$
- ( $M ;+{ }^{*}, 0,1$ ) is an effect algebra (MV-effect algebra) with RDP which is lattice ordered (and distributive)
- Every lattice ordered EA with RDP is an MV-effect algebra
- $a, b$ EA compatible: $\exists a_{1}, b_{1}, c$ such that $a=a_{1}+c, b=b_{1}+c$ and $a_{1}+b_{1}+c$ exists in $E$, block
- Every lattice ordered EA can be covered by
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## States on MV-algebras

- state $s: M \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that (i) $s(1)=1$ and
(ii) $s(a+b)=s(a)+s(b)$ if $a \leq b^{*}$.
- $s$ is extremal iff

$$
s(a \wedge b)=\min \{s(a), s(b)\}
$$

- $\mathcal{S}(M), \partial_{e} \mathcal{S}(M) \neq \emptyset$
- $s_{\alpha} \rightarrow s, \mathcal{S}(M), \partial_{e} \mathcal{S}$ compact, Hausdorff topological space.
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## Affine Functions

- K-convex, compact Hausdorff topol. space
- Aff $(K)$ - continuous affine functions
- $f$-affine: $x, y \in K$ and any $\lambda \in[0,1]$, we have $f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y)=\lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) f(y)$.
- $\partial_{e} K, K=\mathrm{cl}$ conhull $\partial_{e} K$
- (Aff $(K), 1)$ po-group
- $\mathcal{S}(E) \cong \mathcal{S}(\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{S}(E)), 1), s \mapsto f(s)$, $f \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{S}(E))$


## Simplices vs EAs
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## Simplices vs EAs

- convex cone- in a real linear space $V$ is any subset $C$ of $V$ such that (i) $0 \in C$, (ii) if $x_{1}, x_{2} \in C$, then $\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2} \in C$ for any $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
- strict cone- is any convex cone $C$ such that $C \cap-C=\{0\}$,
- base- for a convex cone $C$ is any convex subset $K$ of $C y \in C \backslash\{0\}$ may be uniquely expressed in the form $y=\alpha x$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, x \in K$
- strict cone $C$ of $V$ defines $\leq_{C}$ via $x \leq_{C} y$ iff $y-x \in C$.
- strict cone $C$ of $V$ defines $\leq_{C}$ via $x \leq_{C} y$ iff $y-x \in C$.
- lattice cone- strict convex cone $C$ in $V$ such that $C$ is a lattice under $\leq_{C}$.
- strict cone $C$ of $V$ defines $\leq_{C}$ via $x \leq_{C} y$ iff $y-x \in C$.
- lattice cone- strict convex cone $C$ in $V$ such that $C$ is a lattice under $\leq_{C}$.
- simplex:- is any convex subset $K$ of $V$ that is affinely isomorphic to a base for a lattice cone in some real linear space $V$
- strict cone $C$ of $V$ defines $\leq_{C}$ via $x \leq_{C} y$ iff $y-x \in C$.
- lattice cone- strict convex cone $C$ in $V$ such that $C$ is a lattice under $\leq_{C}$.
- simplex:- is any convex subset $K$ of $V$ that is affinely isomorphic to a base for a lattice cone in some real linear space $V$
- Choquet simplex: if $K$ is compact
- strict cone $C$ of $V$ defines $\leq_{C}$ via $x \leq_{C} y$ iff $y-x \in C$.
- lattice cone- strict convex cone $C$ in $V$ such that $C$ is a lattice under $\leq_{C}$.
- simplex:- is any convex subset $K$ of $V$ that is affinely isomorphic to a base for a lattice cone in some real linear space $V$
- Choquet simplex: if $K$ is compact
- Bauer simplex: $K$ and $\partial_{e} K$ are compact
- If $H=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ corresponding to von Neumann operators can be identified with the convex set of all positive trace-one matrices in $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
- If $H=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ corresponding to von Neumann operators can be identified with the convex set of all positive trace-one matrices in $M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} \\ \beta_{2} & 1-\beta_{1}\end{array}\right)$, the parameters $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ must satisfy the inequality $\left(\beta_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4}$, and vice-versa. Hence, the state space is affinely isomorphic with the latter circle. The state space for $H=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is affinely homeomorphic with a three-dimensional real sphere•
- $E$ with (RDP) - $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Choquet simplex
- $E$ with (RDP) - $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Choquet simplex
- $E$ - MV-algebra, $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Bauer simplex
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- $E$ with (RDP) - $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Choquet simplex
- $E$ - MV-algebra, $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Bauer simplex
- K - Choquet simplex iff Aff( $K$ )- interpolation po-group
- K - Bauer simplex iff Aff( $K$ ) - $\ell$-group
- $E$ with (RDP) - $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Choquet simplex
- $E$ - MV-algebra, $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Bauer simplex
- K - Choquet simplex iff Aff( $K$ )- interpolation po-group
- $K$ - Bauer simplex iff Aff( $K$ ) - $\ell$-group
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}(H))$ is no simplex
- $E$ with (RDP) - $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Choquet simplex
- $E$ - MV-algebra, $\mathcal{S}(E)$ Bauer simplex
- K - Choquet simplex iff Aff( $K$ )- interpolation po-group
- $K$ - Bauer simplex iff Aff( $K$ ) - $\ell$-group
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}(H))$ is no simplex
- $\operatorname{dim} H=2$, regular states $\cong$ unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
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## Structure of the state space

- EA- the state space $\mathcal{S}(E)$ - (i) empty, (ii) singleton, (iii) infinite
- extremal state: $s=\lambda s_{1}+(1-\lambda) s_{2}$, then $s=s_{1}=s_{2}$,
- Schultz, Navara: every compact convex set is affinely homeomorphic to the state space of an orthomodular lattice.
- A convex compact Hausdorff space $K \neq \emptyset$ is affinely isomorphic to the state space of some MV-algebra iff $K$ is a Bauer simplex.
- A convex compact Hausdorff space $K \neq \emptyset$ is affinely isomorphic to the state space of some EA with (RDP) iff $K$ is a Choquet simplex
- A convex compact Hausdorff space $K \neq \emptyset$ is affinely isomorphic to the state space of some EA with (RDP) iff $K$ is a Choquet simplex
- there is no MV-algebra whose state space is affinely isomorphic to the closed square or to the closed unit circle
- $\mathcal{B}(K)$ - Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $K$ generated by all open subsets of $K$
- $\mathcal{B}(K)$ - Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $K$ generated by all open subsets of $K$
- Borel measure $\mu$ - regular if $\inf \{\mu(O): Y \subseteq O, O$ open $\}=\mu(Y)=$
$\sup \{\mu(C): C \subseteq Y, C$ closed $\}, Y \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.
- $\mathcal{B}(K)$ - Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $K$ generated by all open subsets of $K$
- Borel measure $\mu$ - regular if $\inf \{\mu(O): Y \subseteq O, O$ open $\}=\mu(Y)=$ $\sup \{\mu(C): C \subseteq Y, C$ closed $\}, Y \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.
- $\delta_{x}$ - Dirac measure - regular Borel measure.
- $\mathcal{B}(K)$ - Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $K$ generated by all open subsets of $K$
- Borel measure $\mu$ - regular if $\inf \{\mu(O): Y \subseteq O, O$ open $\}=\mu(Y)=$ $\sup \{\mu(C): C \subseteq Y, C$ closed $\}, Y \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.
- $\delta_{x}$ - Dirac measure - regular Borel measure.
- $\mu \sim \lambda$ iff $\mu(f)=\lambda(f), f \in \operatorname{Aff}(K)$.
- $\mathcal{B}(K)$ - Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $K$ generated by all open subsets of $K$
- Borel measure $\mu$ - regular if $\inf \{\mu(O): Y \subseteq O, O$ open $\}=\mu(Y)=$ $\sup \{\mu(C): C \subseteq Y, C$ closed $\}, Y \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.
- $\delta_{x}$ - Dirac measure - regular Borel measure.
- $\mu \sim \lambda$ iff $\mu(f)=\lambda(f), f \in \operatorname{Aff}(K)$.
- $\mu \prec \lambda$ iff $\mu(f) \leq \lambda(f), f \in \operatorname{Con}(K)$,
- $\mathcal{B}(K)$ - Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $K$ generated by all open subsets of $K$
- Borel measure $\mu$ - regular if $\inf \{\mu(O): Y \subseteq O, O$ open $\}=\mu(Y)=$ $\sup \{\mu(C): C \subseteq Y, C$ closed $\}, Y \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.
- $\delta_{x}$ - Dirac measure - regular Borel measure.
- $\mu \sim \lambda$ iff $\mu(f)=\lambda(f), f \in \operatorname{Aff}(K)$.
- $\mu \prec \lambda$ iff $\mu(f) \leq \lambda(f), f \in \operatorname{Con}(K)$,
- continuous convex functions $f$ on $K-$ $f\left(\alpha x_{1}+(1-\alpha) x_{2}\right) . \leq \alpha f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) f\left(x_{2}\right):$
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## States vs Integrals

- $\hat{a}: \mathcal{S}(E) \rightarrow[0,1], \hat{a}(s):=s(a), s \in \mathcal{S}(E)$
- Theorem 0.11 Let $E$ be an effect algebra with RDP and let s be a state on E. Then there is a unique maximal regular Borel probability measure $\mu_{s} \sim \delta_{s}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(E)$ ) such that

$$
s(a)=\int_{\mathcal{S}(E)} \hat{a}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{s}(x), \quad a \in E .
$$

Theorem 0.12 Let $E=\Gamma(G, u)$ be an interval effect algebra where $(G, u)$ is a unigroup, and let $\mathcal{S}(E)$ be a simplex. If $s$ is $\sigma$-additive, then its unique extension, $\hat{s}$, on $(G, u)$ is $\sigma$-additive.

Theorem 0.13 Let E be an MV-algebra and let $s$ be a state on $E$. Then there is a unique regular Borel probability measure, $\mu_{s}$, on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(E))$ such that $\mu_{s}\left(\partial_{e} \mathcal{S}(E)\right)=1$ and

$$
s(a)=\int_{\partial_{e} \mathcal{S}(E)} \hat{a}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{s}(x), \quad a \in E
$$

- Corollary 0.14 Let s be a state on an effect algebra $E$. There is a regular Borel probability measure, $\mu_{s}$, on the Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(E))$ such that

$$
s(a)=\int_{\mathcal{S}(E)} \hat{a}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{s}(x), \quad a \in E .
$$

- Corollary 0.15 Let s be a state on an effect algebra $E$. There is a regular Borel probability measure, $\mu_{s}$, on the Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(E))$ such that

$$
s(a)=\int_{\mathcal{S}(E)} \hat{a}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{s}(x), \quad a \in E .
$$

- Kolmogorov $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P) P$ - $\sigma$-additive probability
- Corollary 0.16 Let s be a state on an effect algebra $E$. There is a regular Borel probability measure, $\mu_{s}$, on the Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}(E))$ such that

$$
s(a)=\int_{\mathcal{S}(E)} \hat{a}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{s}(x), \quad a \in E .
$$

- Kolmogorov $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P) P-\sigma$-additive probability
- de Finetti - finitely additive probability
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- $M$ is a $\sigma$-MV-algebra if $M$ is $\sigma$-lattice. on $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ is a collection $\mathcal{T}$ of fuzzy sets from $[0,1]^{\Omega}$ such that (i) $1 \in \mathcal{T}$, (ii) if $f \in \mathcal{T}$, then $1-f \in \mathcal{T}$, and (iii) if $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is a sequence from $\mathcal{T}$, then

$$
\min \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}, 1\right\} \in \mathcal{T} \text {. }
$$

$\min \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{n}}, 1\right\}=\chi \mathrm{U}_{n} A_{n}$.

- tribe is a $\sigma$-MV-algebra.
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## Monotone $\sigma$-complete EAs

- PEA $E$ is monotone $\sigma$-complete provided that every ascending sequence $x_{1} \leqslant x_{2} \leqslant \cdots$ of elements in $E$ has a supremum $x=\bigvee_{n} x_{n}$.
- A tribe is a collection $\mathcal{T} \subseteq[0,1]^{\Omega}$ s.t. (i) $1 \in \mathcal{T}$, (ii) if $f \in \mathcal{T}$, then $1-f \in \mathcal{T}$, and (iii) if $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence from $\mathcal{T}$, then $\min \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}, 1\right\} \in \mathcal{T}$.
- $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is isomorphic to an effect-tribe: $\mathcal{E}(H)$ no RDP
- $\Omega(H)=\{\phi \in H:\|\phi\|=1\}, A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$,
$\mu_{A}(\phi):=(A \phi, \phi), \phi \in \Omega(H)$.
$\mathcal{T}(H)=\left\{\mu_{A}: A \in \mathcal{E}(H \cdot)\right\} \cdot \cdot$


## Loomis-Sikorski theorems

Theorem 0.17 Every $\sigma$-MV-algebra is a $\sigma$-homomorphic image of a tribe of fuzzy sets.

## Loomis-Sikorski theorems

Theorem 0.19 Every $\sigma$-MV-algebra is a $\sigma$-homomorphic image of a tribe of fuzzy sets.

- Theorem 0.20 For every monotone $\sigma$-complete effect algebra E with RDP, there are a nonempty set $\Omega$, an effect-tribe $\mathcal{T} \subseteq[0,1]^{\Omega}$ with RDP, and a $\sigma$-homomorphism $h$ from $\mathcal{T}$ onto $E$.
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- We don't assume that + has to be commutative
- pseudo MV-algebras, pseudo effect algebras
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## GMV-algebras

- Georgescu and lorgulescu [Gelo] (pseudo MV-algebras), Rachunek [Rac] (generalized MV-algebras) - 1999
- PMV-algebra or GMV-algebra is an algebra $(M ; \oplus,-, \sim, 0,1)$ of type $(2,1,1,0,0)$ with an additional binary operation $\odot$ defined via

$$
y \odot x=\left(x^{-} \oplus y^{-}\right)^{\sim}
$$

(A1) $x \oplus(y \oplus z)=(x \oplus y) \oplus z$;
(A2) $x \oplus 0=0 \oplus x=x$;
(A3) $x \oplus 1=1 \oplus x=1$;
(A4) $1^{\sim}=0 ; 1^{-}=0$;
(A5) $\left(x^{-} \oplus y^{-}\right)^{\sim}=\left(x^{\sim} \oplus y^{\sim}\right)^{-}$;
(A6) $x \oplus\left(x^{\sim} \odot y\right)=y \oplus\left(y^{\sim} \odot x\right)=\left(x \odot y^{-}\right) \oplus y=$ $\left(y \odot x^{-}\right) \oplus x$
(A7) $x \odot\left(x^{-} \oplus y\right)=\left(x \oplus y^{\sim}\right) \odot y$;
(A8) $\left(x^{-}\right)^{\sim}=x$.

$$
x \leq y \quad \text { iff } \quad x^{-} \oplus y=1
$$

$$
x \leq y \quad \text { iff } \quad x^{-} \oplus y=1
$$

- $M$ - distributive lattice

$$
x \leq y \quad \text { iff } \quad x^{-} \oplus y=1
$$

- $M$ - distributive lattice
- $x \vee y=x \oplus\left(x^{\sim} \odot y\right)$ and $x \wedge y=x \odot\left(x^{-} \oplus y\right)$.

$$
x \leq y \quad \text { iff } \quad x^{-} \oplus y=1
$$

- $M$ - distributive lattice
- $x \vee y=x \oplus\left(x^{\sim} \odot y\right)$ and $x \wedge y=x \odot\left(x^{-} \oplus y\right)$.
- GMV-algebra $M$ is an MV-algebra iff $x \oplus y=y \oplus x$ for all $x, y \in M$.


## $(G, u)$ unital $\ell$-group, $u$ strong unit

$(G, u)$ unital $\ell$-group, $u$ strong unit

$$
\Gamma(G, u):=[0, u]
$$

$(G, u)$ unital $\ell$-group, $u$ strong unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma(G, u):=[0, u] \\
& x \oplus y:=(x+y) \wedge u, \\
& x^{-}:=u-x, \\
& x^{\sim}:=-x+u, \\
& x \odot y:=(x-u+y) \vee 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$(G, u)$ unital $\ell$-group, $u$ strong unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma(G, u):=[0, u] \\
& x \oplus y:=(x+y) \wedge u, \\
& x^{-}:=u-x, \\
& x^{\sim}:=-x+u, \\
& x \odot y:=(x-u+y) \vee 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\Gamma(G, u) ; \oplus,-{ }^{\sim}, 0, u\right)$ is a GMV-algebra.

Theorem 0.21 [Dvu 2002] For any GMV-algebra M, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) unital $\ell$-group $G$ with a strong unit u such that $M \cong \Gamma(G, u)$.
The functor $\Gamma$ defines a categorical equivalence between the category of GMV-algebras and the category of unital l-groups.

Theorem 0.22 [Dvu 2002] For any GMV-algebra $M$, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) unital $\ell$-group $G$ with a strong unit u such that $M \cong \Gamma(G, u)$.
The functor $\Gamma$ defines a categorical equivalence between the category of GMV-algebras and the category of unital l-groups.

- $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z} \overrightarrow{\times} G,(1,0))$ - GMV-algebra such that $x^{\sim}=x^{-}$(symmetric) but not necessarily MV-algebra
- Let $u$ be the translation $u(t)=t+1, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
$\operatorname{BAut}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}): \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, u^{-n} \leq g \leq u^{n}\right\}$.
Then $\Gamma(\operatorname{BAut}(\mathbb{R}), u))$ is stateless - it is a generator of the variety GMV-algebras
- Let $u$ be the translation $u(t)=t+1, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
$\operatorname{BAut}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}): \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, u^{-n} \leq g \leq u^{n}\right\}$.
Then $\Gamma(\operatorname{BAut}(\mathbb{R}), u))$ is stateless - it is a generator of the variety GMV-algebras
- Komori: The lattice of varieties of MV-algebras is countable
- Let $u$ be the translation $u(t)=t+1, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
$\operatorname{BAut}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}): \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, u^{-n} \leq g \leq u^{n}\right\}$.
Then $\Gamma(\operatorname{BAut}(\mathbb{R}), u))$ is stateless - it is a generator of the variety GMV-algebras
- Komori: The lattice of varieties of MV-algebras is countable
- The lattice of varieties of GMV-algebras is uncountable
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- $a+b$ and $(a+b)+c$ exist if and only if $b+c$ and $a+(b+c)$ exist, and in this case, $(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$.
- If $a+b$ exists, there are elements $d, e \in E$ such that $a+b=d+a=b+e$.
- If $a+b$ and $a+c$ exist and are equal, then $b=c$. If $b+a$ and $c+a$ exist and are equal, then $b=c$.


## Pseudo Effect Algebras

- AD+ Vetterlein- noncommutative generalization of EAs
- $a+b$ and $(a+b)+c$ exist if and only if $b+c$ and $a+(b+c)$ exist, and in this case, $(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$.
- If $a+b$ exists, there are elements $d, e \in E$ such that $a+b=d+a=b+e$.
- If $a+b$ and $a+c$ exist and are equal, then $b=c$. If $b+a$ and $c+a$ exist and are equal, then $b=c$.
- If $a+b$ exists and $a+b=0$, then $a=b=0$.
- $a+0$ and $0+a$ exist and both are equal to $a$.
- $a+0$ and $0+a$ exist and both are equal to $a$.
- $a \leqslant b$ iff $\exists c \in E$ such that $a+c=b$.
- $a+0$ and $0+a$ exist and both are equal to $a$.
- $a \leqslant b$ iff $\exists c \in E$ such that $a+c=b$.
- PEA is an EA iff + is commutative
- $a+0$ and $0+a$ exist and both are equal to $a$.
- $a \leqslant b$ iff $\exists c \in E$ such that $a+c=b$.
- PEA is an EA iff + is commutative
- RDP: $a_{1}+a_{2}=b_{1}+b_{2}$, there are four elements $c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22}$ such that $a_{1}=c_{11}+c_{12}$, $a_{2}=c_{21}+c_{22}, b_{1}=c_{11}+c_{21}$, and $b_{2}=c_{21}+c_{22}$.
- $a+0$ and $0+a$ exist and both are equal to $a$.
- $a \leqslant b$ iff $\exists c \in E$ such that $a+c=b$.
- PEA is an EA iff + is commutative
- RDP: $a_{1}+a_{2}=b_{1}+b_{2}$, there are four elements $c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22}$ such that $a_{1}=c_{11}+c_{12}$, $a_{2}=c_{21}+c_{22}, b_{1}=c_{11}+c_{21}$, and $b_{2}=c_{21}+c_{22}$.
- (RDP) $)_{1}$ RDP $+x \leqslant c_{12}$ and $y \leqslant c_{21}$, we have $x+y, y+x$ exists in $E$ and $x+y=y+x$,
- $\mathrm{RDP}_{2}: \mathrm{RDP}+d_{2} \wedge d_{3}=0$ - pseudo MV-algebra
- $\mathrm{RDP}_{2}: \mathrm{RDP}+d_{2} \wedge d_{3}=0-$ pseudo MV-algebra
- (G,u) - unital po-group not necessarily Abelian
- $\mathrm{RDP}_{2}: \mathrm{RDP}+d_{2} \wedge d_{3}=0-$ pseudo MV-algebra
- ( $G, u$ ) - unital po-group not necessarily Abelian
- AD+Vetterlein: The category of pseudo effect algebras with RDP $_{1}$ is categorically equivalent with the category of unital po-group with RDP $_{1}$


## States on PEAs

- Theorem 0.23 If $E$ is a pseudo effect algebra with (RDP), then either $\mathcal{S}(E)$ is empty or it is a nonempty Choquet simplex. If, in addition, E satisfies (RDP) $)_{2}$, then either $\mathcal{S}(E)$ is empty or it is a nonempty Bauer simplex.
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- Extremal states for GMV-algebras similar as those for MV-algebras


## States on PEAs

- Theorem 0.25 If $E$ is a pseudo effect algebra with (RDP), then either $\mathcal{S}(E)$ is empty or it is a nonempty Choquet simplex.
If, in addition, E satisfies (RDP) ${ }_{2}$, then either $\mathcal{S}(E)$ is empty or it is a nonempty Bauer simplex.
- Extremal states for GMV-algebras similar as those for MV-algebras
- Representation of states by integral as those for states on EAs

