

# Formal Concept Analysis of higher order

Ondrej Kridlo, Patrik Mihalcin, Lubomir Antoni, Stanislav Krajci



INVESTMENTS IN EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT

# Motivation

$\mathcal{P}$	TV	W	Ce	R
Anna	●		●	●
Bob	○	○	●	●
Cyril	○	●	●	○
David	●	●	○	
Erik	●	●	○	●

Context of

- group of people
- hotel services
- accommodation requirements
- ● - important, ○ - less important, - no matter

# Motivation

$\mathcal{P}$	TV	W	Ce	R
Anna	●		●	●
Bob	○	○	●	●
Cyril	○	●	●	○
David	●	●	○	
Erik	●	●	○	●

Context of

- group of people
- hotel services
- accommodation requirements
- ● - important, ○ - less important, - no matter

Output:

- groups of people that have similar accommodation requirements
- How to add some more information?
- friendship relationships
- accommodation situation in the city

# External data 1: Friendship relationship

$\mathcal{F}$	Anna	Bob	Cyril	David	Erik
Anna	●	○	○		
Bob	○	●	●	○	○
Cyril	○	●	●	●	●
David		○	●	●	●
Erik		○	●	●	●

- group of friends
- group of people similar to their sympathy to the others
- ideal (instant) situation (facebook like, etc.)

## External data 2: Accommodation situation

$\mathcal{H}$	TV	W	C	R
H1	•	•	•	•
H2	•	•		•
H3			•	
H4	•		•	•

Output:

- subsets of hotels similar to services that they offer

# Problem

Is it possible to advise to some groups of friends subsets of hotels that offers as much services common inside the group?

Solution: Second order FCA

# Formal context of second order

			$A_1$	$A_2$	...	$A_m$
		$\mathcal{D}_1$	$\mathcal{D}_2$	...	$\mathcal{D}_m$	
$B_1$	$\mathcal{C}_1$	$r_{11}$	$r_{12}$	...	$r_{1m}$	
$B_2$	$\mathcal{C}_2$	$r_{21}$	$r_{22}$	...	$r_{2m}$	
$B_3$	$\mathcal{C}_3$	$r_{31}$	$r_{32}$	...	$r_{3m}$	
	...	...	...	...	...	
$B_n$	$\mathcal{C}_n$	$r_{n1}$	$r_{n2}$	...	$r_{nm}$	

$$B = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$$

$$A = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j$$

$$r = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I \times J} r_{ij}$$

- $A_{i1} \cap A_{i2} = \emptyset$  for any  $i1 \neq i2, i1, i2 \in I$
- $B_{j1} \cap B_{j2} = \emptyset$  for any  $j1 \neq j2, j1, j2 \in J$

# Formal context of second order

			$A_1$	$A_2$	...	$A_m$
		$\mathcal{D}_1$	$\mathcal{D}_2$	...	$\mathcal{D}_m$	
$B_1$	$C_1$	$r_{11}$	$r_{12}$	...	$r_{1m}$	
	$B_2$	$C_2$	$r_{21}$	$r_{22}$	...	$r_{2m}$
$B_3$	$C_3$	$r_{31}$	$r_{32}$	...	$r_{3m}$	
	...	...	...	...	...	
$B_n$	$C_n$	$r_{n1}$	$r_{n2}$	...	$r_{nm}$	

$$\left\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i; \{C_i \mid i \in I\}; \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j; \{D_j \mid j \in J\}; \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I \times J} r_{ij} \right\rangle$$



# Idea?

- Back to problem: advise a subset of hotels to a group of friends due to their accommodation requirements
- Translation: to find a sufficient connection between concept lattices of external contexts due to internal data

# Krídlo, Ojeda-Aciego: Linking $L$ -Chu correspondences and completely lattice $L$ -ordered sets

- categorical equivalence between category  $L$ -ChuCors of  $L$ -contexts and  $L$ -Chu correspondences completely lattice  $L$ -ordered sets and  $L$ -fuzzy Galois connections
- functor  $\Gamma : L\text{-ChuCors} \rightarrow L\text{-CLLOS}$
- - $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}) = L\text{-FCL}(\mathcal{C})$  for any  $L$ -context  $\mathcal{C}$
  - $\Gamma(\varphi) = \langle \lambda_L, \lambda_R \rangle$

$$\langle f_1, g_1 \rangle \preceq_1 \lambda_R(\langle f_2, g_2 \rangle) \Leftrightarrow \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle \preceq_2 \lambda_R(\langle f_1, g_1 \rangle)$$

for any  $\varphi \in L\text{-ChuCors}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$

## Back to problem:

Now the problem is reduced by looking for a sufficient  $L$ -Chu correspondence.

Easy solution: Lets have external  $L$ -contexts  $\mathcal{C}_i$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  for any  $(i, j) \in I \times J$ :

- complete lattice ( $L$ -CLLOS) of  $L\text{-ChuCors}(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)$  is dually isomorphic to  $L\text{-Bonds}(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)$
- lets choose

$$\rho_{ij} = \bigvee \{ \beta \in L\text{-Bonds}(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_j) \mid (\forall (o, a) \in B_i \times A_j) \beta(o)(a) \leq r_{ij}(o, a) \}$$

- create  $L$ -Chu correspondence  $\varphi_{ij}$ 
  - $\varphi_{ijL}(o_i) = \downarrow_j (\rho_{ij}(o_i))$
  - $\varphi_{ijR}(a_j) = \uparrow_i (\rho_{ij}(a_j))$
- use a Galois connection  $\Gamma(\varphi_{ij}) = \langle \lambda_{ijL}, \lambda_{ijR} \rangle$

# Derivation operators



$$\uparrow: \prod_{i \in I} L\text{-FCL}(\mathcal{C}_i) \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L\text{-FCL}(\mathcal{D}_j)$$



$$\downarrow: \prod_{j \in J} L\text{-FCL}(\mathcal{D}_j) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} L\text{-FCL}(\mathcal{C}_i)$$



$$\uparrow(\Phi)(j) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \lambda_{ijL}(\Phi(i))$$



$$\downarrow(\Psi)(i) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \lambda_{ijR}(\Psi(j))$$

■ for any  $\Phi \in \prod_{i \in I} L\text{-FCL}(\mathcal{C}_i)$  and  $\Psi \in \prod_{j \in J} L\text{-FCL}(\mathcal{D}_j)$

# Solution of motivation problem:

	concepts of $\mathcal{F}$	concepts of $\mathcal{H}$
1	$\{\circ/A, \bullet/B, \bullet/C, \circ/D, \circ/E\}$ $\{\circ/A, \bullet/B, \bullet/C, \circ/D, \circ/E\}$	$\{\circ/TV, \circ/W, \bullet/Ce, \circ/R\}$ $\{\bullet/H1, /H2, \circ/H3, \circ/H4\}$
2	$\{\bullet/A, \bullet/B, \bullet/C, \bullet/D, \bullet/E\}$ $\{/A, \circ/B, \circ/C, /D, /E\}$	$\{/TV, /W, \circ/Ce, /R\}$ $\{\bullet/H1, \circ/H2, \bullet/H3, \bullet/H4\}$
3	$\{\bullet/A, \bullet/B, \bullet/C, \circ/D, \circ/E\}$ $\{\circ/A, \circ/B, \circ/C, /D, /E\}$	$\{\circ/TV, /W, \bullet/Ce, \circ/R\}$ $\{\bullet/H1, /H2, \circ/H3, \bullet/H4\}$
4	$\{\bullet/A, \circ/B, \circ/C, /D, /E\}$ $\{\bullet/A, \circ/B, \circ/C, /D, /E\}$	$\{\bullet/TV, /W, \bullet/Ce, \bullet/R\}$ $\{\bullet/H1, /H2, /H3, \bullet/H4\}$
5	$\{\circ/A, \circ/B, \circ/C, /D, /E\}$ $\{\bullet/A, \bullet/B, \bullet/C, \circ/D, \circ/E\}$	$\{\bullet/TV, \circ/W, \bullet/Ce, \bullet/R\}$ $\{\bullet/H1, /H2, /H3, \circ/H4\}$
6	$\{/A, \circ/B, \circ/C, /D, /E\}$ $\{\bullet/A, \bullet/B, \bullet/C, \bullet/D, \bullet/E\}$	$\{\bullet/TV, \bullet/W, \bullet/Ce, \bullet/R\}$ $\{\bullet/H1, /H2, /H3, /H4\}$

# Simplification:

- Nice property of bonds: Let  $\beta \in L\text{-Bonds}(\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)$
- derivation operators  $\langle \uparrow_\beta, \downarrow_\beta \rangle$  based on relation  $\beta$  forms a Galois connection between  $L\text{-Ext}(\mathcal{C}_i)$  and  $L\text{-Int}(\mathcal{D}_j)$

■

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i; \{\mathcal{C}_i | i \in I\}; \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j; \{\mathcal{D}_j | j \in J\}; \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I \times J} r_{ij} \right\rangle$$

■

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \left\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i; \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j, \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I \times J} \rho_{ij} \right\rangle$$

# Generalisation of standard FCA: singleton connection

For any  $L$ -fuzzy formal context  $\mathcal{C} = \langle B, A, r \rangle$

$$\mathcal{C}^1 = \left\langle \bigcup_{b \in B} \{b\}, \{\perp_b : b \in B\}, \bigcup_{a \in A} \{a\}, \{\perp_a^* : a \in A\}, \bigcup_{(b,a) \in B \times A} r(b, a) \right\rangle$$

where  $\perp_x = \langle \{x\}, L, \lambda \rangle$  such that  $L\text{-FCL}(\perp_x) \cong L$ .  
Concept lattices of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^1$  are isomorphic.

# Generalisation of standard FCA: $\neq$ connection

For any  $L$ -fuzzy formal context  $\mathcal{C} = \langle B, A, r \rangle$  where  $L$  is closed under double negation law, let's define a second order formal context  $\mathcal{C}^{\neq} = \langle B, \mathcal{B}, A, \mathcal{A}, r \rangle$  where for any set  $X$  there is an  $L$ -context  $\mathcal{X} = \langle X, X, \neq \rangle$ .

Concept lattices of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{\neq}$  are isomorphic.



# S. Krajčí, et al.: On heterogeneous formal contexts

## Definition

Heterogeneous formal context is a tuple  $\langle B, A, \mathcal{P}, R, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \odot \rangle$ , where

- $B$  and  $A$  are non-empty sets,
- $\mathcal{P} = \{ \langle P_{b,a}, \leq_{P_{b,a}} \rangle : (b, a) \in B \times A \}$  is a system of posets,
- $R$  is a mapping from  $B \times A$  such that  $R(b, a) \in P_{b,a}$  for any  $b \in B$  and  $a \in A$ ,
- $\mathcal{U} = \{ \langle U_b, \leq_{U_b} \rangle : b \in B \}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \{ \langle V_a, \leq_{V_a} \rangle : a \in A \}$  are systems of complete lattices,
- $\odot = \{ \circ_{b,a} : (b, a) \in B \times A \}$  is a system of isotone and left-continuous mappings  $\circ_{b,a} : U_b \times V_a \longrightarrow P_{b,a}$ .

## Translation:

- $B$  and  $A$  will be the index sets  $I$  and  $J$ ,
- complete lattices  $U_i$  or  $V_j$  for any  $(i, j) \in B \times A = I \times J$  will be the complete lattices  $\langle \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_i), \leq \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}_j), \leq \rangle$ ,
- $P_{i,j}$  will be a complete lattice of all fuzzy relations from  $L^{B_i \times A_j}$ ,
- any value of relation  $r$  will be a binary relation  $r(i, j) = r_{i,j} \in L^{B_i \times A_j}$ ,
- operation  $\circ_{i,j} : \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_i) \times \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}_j) \longrightarrow L^{B_i \times A_j}$  is defined as

$$(f \circ_{i,j} g)(b, a) = f(b) \otimes g(a)$$

for any  $f \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_i)$  and  $g \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}_j)$  and any  $(b, a) \in B_i \times A_j$ .  
The mapping  $\circ_{i,j}$  is isotone due to isotonicity of  $\otimes$ .

$$\lrcorner (\Phi)^j = \bigvee \{g \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}_j) : (\forall i \in I) \Phi^i \circ_{i,j} g \leq r_{i,j}\}$$

$$\searrow (\Psi)^i = \bigvee \{f \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_i) : (\forall j \in J) f \circ_{i,j} \Psi^j \leq r_{i,j}\}$$

$$\uparrow_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}} (\Phi) \leq \lrcorner (\Phi) \text{ and } \downarrow_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}} (\Psi) \leq \searrow (\Psi)$$

for any  $\Phi \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_i)$  and  $\Psi \in \prod_{j \in J} \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}_j)$

Thank you!