

Algebras assigned to ternary systems

Miroslav Kolařík



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
PALACKÝ UNIVERSITY, OLOMOUC

Olomouc 2015

Co-authors



Ivan Chajda

Palacký University, Olomouc, Czech Republic

Helmut Länger

Vienna University of Technology, Austria



- 1 Short introduction
- 2 Ternary operations assigned to ternary relations
- 3 Homomorphisms and subsystems of ternary relational systems
- 4 Median-like algebras
- 5 Cyclic algebras



In [2] and [3], there were shown that to certain relational systems $\mathcal{A} = (A; R)$, where R is a binary relation on $A \neq \emptyset$, there can be assigned a certain groupoid $\mathcal{G}(A) = (A; \circ)$ which captures the properties of R . Namely, $x \circ y = y$ if and only if $(x, y) \in R$.

Hence, there arises the natural question if a similar way can be used for ternary relational systems and algebras with one ternary relation.

In the following let A denote a fixed arbitrary non-empty set.



Definition

Let T be a ternary relation on A and $a, b \in A$. The set

$$Z_T(a, b) := \{x \in A \mid (a, x, b) \in T\}$$

is called the **centre of (a, b) with respect to T** . The ternary relation T on A is called **centred** if $Z_T(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ for all elements $a, b \in A$.

Definition

Let T be a ternary relation on A and $a, b, c \in A$. The set

$$M_T(a, b, c) := Z_T(a, b) \cap Z_T(b, c) \cap Z_T(c, a)$$

will be called the **median of (a, b, c) with respect to T** .

Now we show that to every centred ternary relation there can be assigned ternary operations.

Definition

Let T be a centred ternary relation on A and t a ternary operation on A satisfying

$$t(a, b, c) \begin{cases} = b & \text{if } (a, b, c) \in T \\ \in Z_T(a, c) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Such an operation t is called **assigned to T** .

Remark

By definition, if T is a centred ternary relation on A and t assigned to T then $(a, t(a, b, c), c) \in T$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.

Lemma

Let T be a centred ternary relation on A and t an assigned operation. Let $a, b, c \in A$. Then $(a, b, c) \in T$ if and only if $t(a, b, c) = b$.

Proof

By Definition 3, if $(a, b, c) \in T$ then $t(a, b, c) = b$. Conversely, assume $(a, b, c) \notin T$. Then $t(a, b, c) \in Z_T(a, c)$. Now $t(a, b, c) = b$ would imply $(a, b, c) = (a, t(a, b, c), c) \in T$ contradicting $(a, b, c) \notin T$. Hence $t(a, b, c) \neq b$.

Example ...



Theorem

A ternary operation t on A is assigned to some centred ternary relation T on A if and only if it satisfies the identity

$$t(x, t(x, y, z), z) = t(x, y, z). \quad (I1)$$

Proof

Let $a, b, c \in A$.

Assume that T is a ternary relation on A and t an assigned operation. If $(a, b, c) \in T$ then $t(a, b, c) = b$ and hence $t(a, t(a, b, c), c) = t(a, b, c)$. If $(a, b, c) \notin T$ then $t(a, b, c) \in Z_T(a, c)$ and hence $(a, t(a, b, c), c) \in T$ which yields $t(a, t(a, b, c), c) = t(a, b, c)$. Thus t satisfies identity (I1).

Conversely, assume $t : A^3 \rightarrow A$ satisfies (I1) and define

$T := \{(x, y, z) \in A^3 \mid t(x, y, z) = y\}$. If $(a, b, c) \in T$ then $t(a, b, c) = b$ and, if $(a, b, c) \notin T$ then $(a, t(a, b, c), c) \in T$ whence $t(a, b, c) \in Z_T(a, c)$, i. e. t is assigned to T .

Further, we get a characterization of some important properties of ternary relations by means of identities of their assigned operations.

Definition

Let T be a ternary relation on A . We call T

- **reflexive** if $|\{a, b, c\}| \leq 2$ implies $(a, b, c) \in T$;
- **symmetric** if $(a, b, c) \in T$ implies $(c, b, a) \in T$;
- **antisymmetric** if $(a, b, a) \in T$ implies $a = b$;
- **cyclic** if $(a, b, c) \in T$ implies $(b, c, a) \in T$;
- **R -transitive** if $(a, b, c), (b, d, e) \in T$ implies $(a, d, e) \in T$;
- **t_1 -transitive** if $(a, b, c), (a, d, b) \in T$ implies $(d, b, c) \in T$;
- **t_2 -transitive** if $(a, b, c), (a, d, b) \in T$ implies $(a, d, c) \in T$;
- **R -symmetric** if $(a, b, c) \in T$ implies $(b, a, c) \in T$;
- **R -antisymmetric** if $(a, b, c), (b, a, c) \in T$ implies $a = b$;
- **non-sharp** if $(a, a, b) \in T$ for all $a, b \in A$;
- **cyclically transitive** if $(a, b, c), (a, c, d) \in T$ implies $(a, b, d) \in T$.

Theorem 1/3

Let T be a centred ternary relation on A and t an assigned operation. Then (i) – (xi) hold:

(i) T is reflexive if and only if t satisfies the identities

$$t(x, x, y) = t(y, x, x) = t(y, x, y) = x.$$

(ii) T is symmetric if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(z, t(x, y, z), x) = t(x, y, z).$$

(iii) T is antisymmetric if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(x, y, x) = x.$$

(iv) T is cyclic if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(t(x, y, z), z, x) = z.$$

Theorem 2/3

(v) T is R -transitive if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(x, t(t(x, y, z), u, v), v) = t(t(x, y, z), u, v).$$

(vi) T is t_1 -transitive if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(t(x, u, t(x, y, z)), t(x, y, z), z) = t(x, y, z).$$

(vii) T is t_2 -transitive if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(x, t(x, u, t(x, y, z)), z) = t(x, u, t(x, y, z)).$$

(viii) T is R -symmetric if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(t(x, y, z), x, z) = x.$$

Theorem 3/3

(ix) If t satisfies the identity

$$t(t(x, y, z), x, z) = t(x, y, z)$$

then T is R -antisymmetric.

(x) T is non-sharp if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(x, x, y) = x.$$

(xi) T is cyclically transitive if and only if t satisfies the identity

$$t(x, t(x, y, t(x, z, u)), u) = t(x, y, t(x, z, u)).$$



By a **ternary relational system** is meant a couple $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ where T is a ternary relation on A . \mathcal{T} is called **centred** if T is centred. As shown above, to every centred ternary relational system $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ there can be assigned an algebra $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$ with one ternary operation $t : A^3 \rightarrow A$ such that t is assigned to T . Now, we can introduce an inverse construction. It means that to every algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; t)$ of type (3) there can be assigned a ternary relational system $\mathcal{T}(A) = (A; T_t)$ where T_t is defined by

$$T_t := \{(x, y, z) \in A^3 \mid t(x, y, z) = y\}. \quad (1)$$

Of course, an assigned ternary relational system $\mathcal{T}(A) = (A; T_t)$ need not be centred. However, if $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ is a centred ternary relational system and $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$ an assigned algebra then T_t is centred despite the fact that t is not determined uniquely. In fact, we have $(a, b, c) \in T_t$ if and only if $t(a, b, c) = b$ if and only if $(a, b, c) \in T$. Hence, we have proved the following



Lemma

Let $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ be a centred ternary relational system, $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$ an assigned algebra and $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}(T)) = (A; T_t)$ the ternary relational system assigned to $\mathcal{A}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}(T)) = \mathcal{T}$.

The best known correspondence between centred ternary relational systems and corresponding algebras of type (3) is the case of "betweenness"-relations and median algebras.



By a **subsystem** of $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ is meant a couple of the form $(B, T|B)$ with a non-empty subset B of A and $T|B := T \cap B^3$. One can easily see that this need not be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$.

By a **homomorphism** of a ternary relational system $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ into a ternary relational system $\mathcal{S} = (B; S)$ is meant a mapping $h : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying

$$(a, b, c) \in T \implies (h(a), h(b), h(c)) \in S.$$

A homomorphism h is called **strong** if for each triple $(p, q, r) \in S$ there exists $(a, b, c) \in T$ such that $(h(a), h(b), h(c)) = (p, q, r)$.

Definition

A **t -homomorphism** from a centred ternary relational system $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ to a ternary relational system $\mathcal{S} = (B; S)$ is a homomorphism from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{S} such that there exists an algebra $(A; t)$ assigned to \mathcal{T} such that $a, b, c, a', b', c' \in A$ and $(h(a), h(b), h(c)) = (h(a'), h(b'), h(c'))$ together imply $h(t(a, b, c)) = h(t(a', b', c'))$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ and $\mathcal{S} = (B; S)$ be centred ternary relational systems and $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$ and $\mathcal{B}(S) = (B; s)$ assigned algebras. Then every homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(T)$ to $\mathcal{B}(S)$ is a t -homomorphism from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{S} .

The theorem says that every homomorphism of assigned algebras is a t -homomorphism of the original relational systems. Now we can show under which conditions the converse assertion becomes true.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ and $\mathcal{S} = (B; S)$ be centred ternary relational systems. Then for every strong t -homomorphism h from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{S} with assigned algebra $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$ there exists an algebra $\mathcal{B}(S) = (B; s)$ assigned to \mathcal{S} such that h is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(T)$ to $\mathcal{B}(S)$.

Proof

Let h be a strong t -homomorphism from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{S} . By definition there exists an algebra $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$ assigned to \mathcal{T} such that for all $a, b, c, a', b', c' \in A$ with $(h(a), h(b), h(c)) = (h(a'), h(b'), h(c'))$ it holds $h(t(a, b, c)) = h(t(a', b', c'))$. Define a ternary operation s on B as follows: $s(h(x), h(y), h(z)) := h(t(x, y, z))$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Since h is strong and a t -homomorphism, s is correctly defined. For $a, b, c \in A$, if $(h(a), h(b), h(c)) \in S$ then there exists $(d, e, f) \in T$ such that $(h(d), h(e), h(f)) = (h(a), h(b), h(c))$. Now

$$s(h(a), h(b), h(c)) = h(t(a, b, c)) = h(t(d, e, f)) = h(e) = h(b).$$

If $(h(a), h(b), h(c)) \notin S$ then $(a, b, c) \notin T$ since h is a homomorphism from \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{S} and hence $t(a, b, c) \in Z_T(a, c)$, i. e. $(a, t(a, b, c), c) \in T$. Thus $(h(a), h(t(a, b, c)), h(c)) \in S$, i. e. $(h(a), s(h(a), h(b), h(c)), h(c)) \in S$ whence $s(h(a), h(b), h(c)) \in Z_S(h(a), h(c))$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}(S)$ is an algebra assigned to \mathcal{B} . It is easy to see that h is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(T)$ to $\mathcal{B}(S)$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{T} = (A; T)$ be a centred ternary relational system. A subset B of A is called a **t -subsystem** of \mathcal{T} if there exists an algebra $\mathcal{A}(T) = (A; t)$ assigned to \mathcal{T} such that $(B; t)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(T)$.

Example

Consider $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and the ternary relation T on A defined as follows:
 $T := A \times \{d\} \times A$. Then $d \in Z_T(x, y)$ for each $x, y \in A$ and hence T is centred and its median is non-empty, in fact $M_T(x, y, z) = \{d\}$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. For $B = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mathcal{B} = (B; T|B)$ is a subsystem of $\mathcal{A} = (A; T)$ but it is not a t -subsystem. Namely, for every $x, y, z \in A$ t can be defined in the unique way as follows: $t(x, y, z) := d$. Hence, $(\{a, b, c\}; t)$ is not a subalgebra of $(A; t)$. On the contrary, $\{a, b, d\}$, $\{a, c, d\}$, $\{b, c, d\}$ are t -subsystems of \mathcal{A} .



Remark

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; t)$, $\mathcal{B} = (B; s)$ be algebras of type (3) and $h : A \rightarrow B$ a homomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} . Put $\mathcal{T}(A) := (A; T_t)$ and $\mathcal{S}(B) := (B; S_s)$ where T_t, S_s are defined by (1). Then h need not be a t -homomorphism of $\mathcal{T}(A)$ to $\mathcal{S}(B)$, see the following example.

Example

Let $A = \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $B = \{1, 0\}$ and $t(x, y, z) = x \cdot y$, $s(x, y, z) = x \cdot y$, where “ \cdot ” is the multiplication of integers. Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be defined by $h(x) = |x|$. Then h is clearly a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A} = (A; t)$ to $\mathcal{B} = (B; s)$ and

$$T_t = (A \times \{0\} \times A) \cup (\{1\} \times A^2).$$

There exists exactly one algebra $(A; t^*)$ assigned to $\mathcal{T}(A)$, namely where

$$t^*(x, y, z) := \begin{cases} y & \text{if } y = 0 \text{ or } x = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now $h(-1) = h(1)$ but $h(t^*(-1, -1, 1)) = h(0) = 0 \neq 1 = h(1) = h(t^*(1, 1, 1))$. Thus h is not a t -homomorphism.

We can prove the following:

Theorem

If $\mathcal{A} = (A; t)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (B; s)$ are algebras of type (3), \mathcal{A} satisfies the identity

$$t(x, t(x, y, z), z) = t(x, y, z)$$

and $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}) = (A; T_t)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}) = (B; S_s)$ denote the relational systems corresponding to \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively, as defined by (1) then every homomorphism h from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} is a t -homomorphism from $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$.

The concept of a median algebra was introduced in [1] as follows: An algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; t)$ of type (3) is called a **median algebra** if it satisfies the following identities:

$$(M1) \quad t(x, x, y) = x;$$

$$(M2) \quad t(x, y, z) = t(y, x, z) = t(y, z, x);$$

$$(M3) \quad t(t(x, y, z), v, w) = t(x, t(y, v, w), t(z, v, w)).$$

It is well-known (see e.g. [1], [5]) that the ternary relation T_t on A assigned to t via (1) is centred and, moreover, $|M_{T_t}(a, b, c)| = 1$ for all $a, b, c \in A$. In fact, $t(a, b, c) \in M_{T_t}(a, b, c)$. In particular, having a distributive lattice $\mathcal{L} = (L; \vee, \wedge)$ then $m(x, y, z) = M(x, y, z)$ and putting $t(x, y, z) := m(x, y, z)$, one obtains a median algebra. Conversely, every median algebra can be embedded into a distributive lattice. Moreover, the assigned ternary relation T_t is the so-called "betweenness", see [7] and [8].

In what follows, we focus on the case when $M_T(a, b, c) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ and $t(a, b, c) \in M_T(a, b, c)$ also in case $|M_T(a, b, c)| \geq 1$.

Definition

A **median-like algebra** is an algebra $(A; t)$ of type (3) where t satisfies (M1) and (M2) and where there exists a centred ternary relation T on A such that $t(x, y, z) \in M_T(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Theorem

An algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; t)$ of type (3) is median-like if t satisfies (M1), (M2) and

$$t(x, t(x, y, z), y) = t(y, t(x, y, z), z) = t(z, t(x, y, z), x) = t(x, y, z).$$

Lemma

Every median algebra is a median-like algebra.

Example

Put $A := \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, let t denote the ternary operation on A defined by $t(x, x, y) = t(x, y, x) = t(y, x, x) := x$ for all $x, y \in A$ and $t(x, y, z) := \min(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$ with $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$ and put $T := \{(x, x, y) \mid x, y \in A\} \cup \{(y, x, x) \mid x, y \in A\} \cup \{(x, y, z) \in A^3 \mid y < x < z\} \cup \{(x, y, z) \in A^3 \mid y < z < x\}$. Then t satisfies (M1) and (M2) and $t(x, y, z) \in M_T(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. This shows that $(A; t)$ is median-like. However, this algebra is not a median algebra since

$$t(t(1, 3, 4), 2, 5) = t(1, 2, 5) = 1 \neq 2 = t(1, 2, 2) = t(1, t(3, 2, 5), t(4, 2, 5))$$

and hence (M3) is not satisfied.

Example ...



Theorem

Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \vee, \wedge)$ be a lattice. Define $t_1(x, y, z) := m(x, y, z)$, $t_2(x, y, z) := M(x, y, z)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_1 := (L; t_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 := (L; t_2)$ are median-like algebras. Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent

- (a) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2$;
- (b) \mathcal{A}_1 is a median algebra;
- (c) \mathcal{L} is distributive.

Proof

Since both $m(x, y, z)$ and $M(x, y, z)$ satisfy (M1) and (M2) and $m(x, y, z), M(x, y, z) \in [m(x, y, z), M(x, y, z)] = M_T(x, y, z)$ for $(x, y, z) \in L^3$ and $T := \{(x, y, z) \in L^3 \mid x \wedge z \leq y \leq x \vee z\}$, $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ are median-like algebras. It is well-known that $m(x, y, z) = M(x, y, z)$ if and only if \mathcal{L} is distributive which proves (a) \Leftrightarrow (c). The implication (c) \Rightarrow (b) is well-known (see e.g. [1], [5]). Finally, we prove (b) \Rightarrow (c). Assume that (b) holds but (c) does not. Then \mathcal{L} contains either $\mathcal{M}_3 = (\{0, a, b, c, 1\}; \vee, \wedge)$ or $\mathcal{N}_5 = (\{0, a, b, c, 1\}; \vee, \wedge)$ (with $a < c$) as a sublattice. In the first case we have

$$t(t(a, b, c), a, 1) = t(0, a, 1) = a \neq 1 = t(a, 1, 1) = t(a, t(b, a, 1), t(c, a, 1))$$

whereas in the second case

$$t(t(c, b, a), a, 1) = t(a, a, 1) = a \neq c = t(c, 1, a) = t(c, t(b, a, 1), t(a, a, 1))$$

which shows that (M3) does not hold. This is a contradiction to (b). Hence (c) holds.

Let us mention that median-like algebras form a variety because they are defined by identities. Moreover, this variety is congruence distributive, i. e. $\text{Con}\mathcal{A}$ is distributive for every median-like algebra \mathcal{A} , because the operation t is a majority term, i. e. it satisfies by (M1) and (M2)

$$t(x, x, y) = t(x, y, x) = t(y, x, x) = x.$$

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{L} = (L; \vee, \wedge)$ be a lattice and t a ternary operation on L satisfying (M1) and (M2) and $t(x, y, z) \in [m(x, y, z), M(x, y, z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then $\mathcal{A} := (L; t)$ is a median-like algebra.

Apart from the "betweenness" relation, another ternary relation plays an important role in mathematics. It is the so-called **cyclic order**, see e.g. [4], [6].

Definition

A ternary relation T on A is called **asymmetric** if

$$(a, b, c) \in T \text{ for } a \neq b \neq c \text{ implies } (c, b, a) \notin T. \quad (2)$$

A ternary relation C on A is called a **cyclic order** if it is cyclic, asymmetric, cyclically transitive and satisfies $(a, a, a) \in C$ for each $a \in A$.

Remark

Let C be a cyclic order on a set A . Then $(a, b, a) \notin C$ for all $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$. Namely, if $(a, b, a) \in C$ then, by (2), $(a, b, a) \notin C$, a contradiction. Since C is cyclic, we have also $(a, a, b), (b, a, a) \notin C$.

Applying (2), we derive immediately

Lemma

A centred ternary relation T on A is asymmetric if and only if any assigned ternary operation t satisfies the implication:

$$(t(x, y, z) = y \text{ and } x \neq y \neq z) \implies t(z, y, x) \neq y. \quad (3)$$

Similarly as for "betweenness" relations, we can derive an algebra of type (3) for a centred cyclic order by means of its assigned operation.

Definition

A **cyclic algebra** is an algebra assigned to a cyclic relation.

Cyclic algebras can be characterized by certain identities and the implication (3) as follows.

Theorem

An algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A; t)$ of type (3) is a cyclic algebra if and only if it satisfies (3) and

$$t(x, t(x, y, z), z) = t(x, y, z),$$

$$t(t(x, y, z), z, x) = z,$$

$$t(x, t(x, y, t(x, z, u)), u) = t(x, y, t(x, z, u)),$$

$$t(x, x, x) = x.$$

Example

Let K be a circle in a plane with a given direction.

Define a ternary relation C on K as follows:

$$(a, a, a) \in C \text{ for each } a \in K \text{ and}$$

$$(a, b, c) \in C \text{ if } a \rightarrow b \text{ and } b \rightarrow c \text{ for } a \neq b \neq c.$$

It is an easy exercise to check that C is a cyclic order on K . If $a, b \in K$ then either $a = b$ and hence $Z_C(a, a) = \{a\}$ or $a \neq b$ thus $Z_C(a, b)$ equals the arc of K between a and b , i. e. it contains a continuum of points. Hence C is centred. For any assigned operation t , the algebra $\mathcal{A}(C) = (K; t)$ is a cyclic algebra.

-  BANDELT H.-J., HEDLÍKOVÁ J.: *Median algebras*, Discrete Math. **45** (1983), 1–30.
-  CHAJDA I., LÄNGER H.: *Quotients and homomorphisms of relational systems*, Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat., Math. **49** (2010), 37–47.
-  CHAJDA I., LÄNGER H.: *Groupoids assigned to relational systems*, Math. Bohemica **138** (2013), 15–23.
-  CHAJDA I., NOVÁK V.: *On extensions of cyclic orders*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **110** (1985), 116–121.
-  ISBELL J. R.: *Median algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), 319–362.
-  NOVÁK V.: *Cyclically ordered sets*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **32** (1982), 460–473.
-  PITCHER E., SMILEY M. F.: *Transitives of betweenness*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1942), 95–114.
-  SHOLANDER M.: *Medians and betweenness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 801–807.

Thanks



Thank you for your attention!