Optical Spectroscopic Applications in Peptide and Protein structure and folding. Systems of increasing complexity



Tim Keiderling*

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago; *tak@uic.edu

- 1. Introduction--Peptide secondary structure & spectra observations and methods
- 2. Theoretical modelling of spectra (Petr Bour, J. Kubleka, J. Kapitan)
- **3.** Isotope substitution –helix background (RongHuang/Gangani Silva/Jan Kubelka/Heng Chi /Ahmed Lakhani/Anjan Roy/Sean Decatur/Claudio Toniolo/Jim Cheeseman)
- 4. β-hairpin data & simulations (Ling Wu/R.Huang /A.Roy /J.Kubelka/ P.Bour /V. Setnicka)
- 5. Dynamics, T-jump results (Karin Hauser, Carsten Kretjschi/Alex Popp)
- Interacting systems aggregation, membrane binding not enough time (H.Chi/J.Kubleka/W. Welch/G.Zhang/A.Lakhani/ Wojciech Dzwolak)

Olomouc 19-Sept-2016

Motivation: Structural Biology Principle:

Understanding molecular structure of complex biological systems can lead to control of function

GOALS (Protein/peptide):

- Define Protein structure--conformational
- Determine folding mechanisms.
- Use tools to monitor structural change during biological process
- Relate peptide folding to protein mechanisms

Peptide/Protein Primary and Secondary Structure Proteins are polymers of amino acids

Pro-Ala-Val-His-Ala-Ser-Leu-Asp-Lys-Phe-Leu-Ala-Ser-Val-Ser-Thr-Val-Leu

Primary structure—sequence of residues (amino acids) in chain

Secondary Structure — stereochemical relation of residues in chain

Helix – side-on Helix – end-on





Physical method of conformation detection must sense secondary structure — e.g. *couple amides*

IR/Raman— coupling comparable to band width,

intensity maximum is characteristic of structure – frequency basis

Circular dichroism --dipole and through-bond *chiral coupling* of local modes (excitations) \rightarrow *circularly polarized transitions*, $\Delta A = A_L - A_R$ - Develops characteristic **band shapes** (*intensity basis*)

Theoretically try to understand spectra/structure relation

IR ~ D = $\mu \cdot \mu \sim |\delta \mu / \delta \mathbf{Q}|^2$ (Raman ~ $|d\alpha/d\mathbf{Q}|^2$) – <u>square (+)</u> ECD, VCD ~ $R = Im(\mu \cdot m)$ -- <u>cross term \rightarrow +/- signs</u>



Computable with *ab initio* QM techniques, ECD needs excited states IR & VCD relatively easy, Raman more basis set sensitive

Characteristic Amide Vibrations- for structure sensing



Infrared (FTIR) and Raman schematic instrumentation



Sensing Secondary Structure with IR and Raman



Raman: amide II weak, but amide III has large shift, due to mix with C α -H ¹⁰

Monitoring structural change - temperature

Temperature dependent IR spectra of the helical peptide

Temperature dependence of amide I' frequency



FTIR and DFTIR - Lysozyme · H.O.D.O Mixtures

"Problem" of H/D exchange is made useful by analyses exposed residues exchange faster



Amide I relatively small change, amide II 100 cm⁻¹ shift, amide III more

Circular Dichroism $\Delta A = A_{L} - A_{R} \sim R = Im(\underline{\mu} \cdot \underline{m})$

Small molecules, intrinsically chiral oscillators, $\underline{m} \neq 0$ and \underline{m} not $\perp \underline{\mu}$

Peptide/ Protein - many oscillators locally achiral -*Coupling is central in uv* - for amide: n-π* or π-π* in planar, *locally achiral* -HN-C=O*in IR* - amide centered vibrations most important, <u>m</u> ~ 0
Coupled amide transitions along chain create delocalized <u>m</u>, leads to distinctive bandshapes--depend on secondary structure

e.g. dipole coupled (2) oscillators (classical):

$$\mathbf{R}^{\pm} = \mp \left(\frac{\pi \nu}{2c}\right) \vec{T}_{ab} \cdot \left(\vec{\mu}_a \times \vec{\mu}_b\right) \sim \underline{\mu}_{\underline{a}} \cdot \left(\underline{\mu}_{\underline{b}} \times \underline{T}_{\underline{ab}}\right) \qquad \mu_{\mathbf{a}}$$

Derivative shape (couplet) CD from overlap of opposite sign transitions, split by coupling, dipole and other sources

T_{ab}

 μ_{b}

UV-vis Circular Dichroism Spectrometer schematic



quartz PEM modulate left-right circular polarizations

PEM – photo elastic modulator

Phase retard linear polarization to form circular polarization Place alternate stress on isotropic crystal



Polypeptide and Protein Circular Dichroism yields global/average secondary structure content



Greenfield-Fasman 1969 poly-L-Lys comparison Protein CD – helix contribution to mix dominates $-\theta_{222}$ correlate to helix

UV absorption of peptides is featureless --except aromatics



Electronic Absorption and Fluorescence

Probe method - sense change in fluorophore environment

What do you see? (typical protein)

Intrinsic fluorophores

eg. Trp, Tyr

Change with tertiary structure, compactness

Amide absorption broad, Intense, featureless, far UV ~200 nm and below



Tertiary structure change - Similar information – near UV CD

Side-chain e.g. TrpZip2, NMR equilibrium structure

- High degree of order, especially in Trp interactions
- Twisted beta strands, bit frayed at termini

- Huang, Wu et al. JPhysChem B 2009
- CD of Trps edge-to-face, simulate with TD-DFT *dominate UV*



Later: Simulate IR, VCD and Raman - full DFT calculation of hairpin (backbone vs. full)

TD-DFT Calculated CD spectra for coupled Trp (just indols)





Ribonuclease A Thermal unfolding a combined uv-CD and FTIR study

S.Stelea Prot. Sci. 2001

- 124 amino acid residues, 1 domain, MW= 13.7 KDa
- 3 α-helices
- 6 β -strands in an AP β -sheet
- 6 Tyr residues (no Trp), 4 Pro residues (2 cis, 2 trans)



Ribonuclease A, multiple probes of thermal unfolding,

FTIR—amide I Loss of β-sheet

Spectral Change

Temperature 10-70°C

Near –uv CD Loss of tertiary struct.

Far-uv CD Loss of α-helix

Stelea et al., Prot.Sci. 2001



Combining Techniques: Vibrational CD "CD" in the infrared region: $\Delta A = A_L - A_R \sim R = Im(\mu.m)$ Vibrational chirality \rightarrow Many transitions / Spectrally resolved / Local Technology in place $\rightarrow \Delta A \sim 10^{-5}$ - limits S/N / Difficult < 700 cm⁻¹ Same transitions as IR Classical: couple (2) oscillators: same frequencies, same resolution Band Shape from spatial relationships μ_a $\mu_{\rm b}$ coupling amides in peptides/proteins $\mathbf{R}^{\pm} = \mp \left(\frac{\pi \nu}{2c}\right) \vec{T}_{ab} \cdot \left(\vec{\mu}_a \times \vec{\mu}_b\right)$ Relatively short length dependence Development -- structure-spectra relationships Derivative History--Small molecules – theory / shape result: Biomolecules -- empirical, Status now—oligopeptide VCD - simulated theoretically





UIC FT-VCD Schematic

(designed for magnetic experiments as well)

Allows parallel detection of whole range. Can be dedicated or add-on accessory. Software needs to accommodate differential signals



Ahmed Lakhani, P.Malon, Appl. Spec 2009 30

Poly Lysine in D_2O – Amide I'– 2nd structure VCD



If coupling dominate, large VCD for "disordered" or "coil" amide I band implies *local* order (PP II)

Note: demo of S/N, baselines still issue

Raman and ROA similar idea, less variance, different intensity in this mode



Provides a basis for theoretically modeling coil, disorder

Dukor, TAK - Biopoly 1991

VCD success example: 3_{10} -helix vs. α -helix



Relative bandshapes distinguish similar helices

Yasui, Toniolo, et al. JACS 1986

Proteins - VCD of amide I', I+II and III regions



collaboration with Petr Pancoska

HEM-hemoglobin High helix

CAN-Concanavalin A High sheet

RNA – Ribonuclease A - Mixed

CAS-Casein unstructured

Summary

IR, Raman, ECD and VCD

-detect structure

-monitor change of structure with folding processes

Challenge

-Can we understand the spectra-structure link? Theoretical methods

-Can we use them to model folding mechanism? Isotopic substitution Modeling peptide vibrations, focus: amide I, C=O stretch *Simplest approach*, assume all residues identical, *interact with dipole coupling model.* If just consider two amide I modes, can model as C=O stretch oscillator, v₀, interacting via energy term V, *often assume dipole coupling for V*, *some small molecule or empirical frequency for v₀ -- example:*

- 2V

$$\begin{vmatrix} v_0 - v & v \\ V & v_0 - v \end{vmatrix} = 0 \text{ yields } v = v_0 \pm V$$

splitting of the two transitions is

But if not identical, i.e. $v_1 \neq v_2$, then splitting no longer gives V_{12}

$$\begin{vmatrix} v_1 - v & V_{12} \\ V_{12} & v_2 - v \end{vmatrix} = 0 \text{ yields } v = \frac{1}{2} (v_1 + v_2) \pm \frac{1}{2} [4V_{12}^2 + (v_1 - v_2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

splitting no longer gives V_{12} if $(v_1 - v_2) >> V_{12}$ intensities differ less transition dipole coupling : $V_{12} = \{\mu_1 \bullet \mu_2 / R_{12}^3 - 3(\mu_1 \bullet R_{12})(\mu_2 \bullet R_{12}) / R_{12}^5\}$

Real peptides - bonds between oscillators, multiple coupling interactions, *dipole coupling is insufficient for near neighbor interactions* **Computed IR, Raman and VCD and ROA spectra** The *best practical computations* for the largest possible molecules

Ab Initio (DFT) quantum mechanical calculations – *all modes* spectra comparable to experiment for *medium sized* molecules

Frequencies from force field – normal modes - harmonic -diagonalize second derivatives of the energy Intensities - IR,VCD - change dipole moment - Raman, ROA - change polarizability
Express as <u>atomic properties</u>, to utilize properties in other calculations

Limitation – medium size (~ hundred 1st row atoms – *our facility*) and *-- static approximation* (adding dynamics, greatly expands calc.)



Utilize model DFT parameters for large molecules Cartesian tensor transfer method of Petr Bour

Large bio-macromolecules -- assume stay ab initio level -- we use a trick (Bour et al. J.Comp.Chem. 1997) Transfer atomic properties from "small" model to larger one i.e. FF, APT-dipole moments, AAT – magnetic dipoles

Method: need polarized basis (e.g. 6-31G*), diffuse help Raman (6-31++G*) Functional an issue: BPW91 good for amide IR,VCD; B3LYP for Raman

In our case these small model calculations are sometimes "large"—12+ AA initially tried just tripeptide results, expanded length later

Method best for regular structure (e.g. helices) to propagate model

Transfer of FF, APT and AAT (e.g. Ala₇ to Ala₂₀)

Method from Bour, et al. J. Comp Chem. 1997



7-mer: FF, APT, AAT calculated at BPW91/6-31G* level

Kubelka, Bour, et al., ACS Symp. Ser.810, 2002

Uniform long α -helix \rightarrow characteristic, narrow bands



Frequency error mostly solvent origin

reflect experiment

7-amide: dispersed amide I, II bands, end effects distort spectra
21-amide: still dispersed, narrow band by change intensity distribution, preserve VCD shape,

Solvent -- close amide I-II gap, *improve frequencies* <u>Preserve band shapes</u>

Kubelka & Keiderling, J.Phys.Chem.B 2005

α -Helix vs. 3₁₀-Helix



i+4 \leftarrow H-bonding \rightarrow i, i+3

$$3.6 \leftarrow$$
 Res./Turn $\rightarrow 3.0$

$$1.50 \leftarrow \text{Trans./Res}(\text{Å}) \rightarrow 2.0$$





¹³C Isotopic Labeling

Basic principle of spectra-structure relationships:



Amide I



IR limitation: average secondary structure (delocalization of Amide I vibrational coupling) Isotopes can break that - give specific sites

(1700-1600 cm⁻¹) Change ¹²C to ¹³C on amide C=O shift amide I down by ~40 cm⁻¹ (isotopic shift) (¹³C=¹⁸O even more ~70 cm⁻¹) Isotopic advantage: site-specific (specific, local Amide I vibrational coupling)



IR subtle variation with site

Silva, Kubleka, et al. PNAS 2000



Coupling pairs of modes



Two ¹³C labeled carbonyls in the amide I mode: Blue arrows indicate symmetric stretching modes Red arrows indicate the anti-symmetric stretching. Helix - sym. pair has large dipole, sheet – anti-sym. large



Calc. All Ala residues ideal helix dipolar coupling OK beyond 2-3 residue decrease with distance.

Sign flip depends on geometry

IR experiment sees one component, intense one of pair

Position of band is positon of one mode, not measure of coupling

Simulated IR bands for labels in different relative positions with different isotopic shifts for sequential and alternate labels due to sign change in coupling constant.

Relative position – 2 labels - experiment and theory (transfer)



Labeling PPII Helices – Less Coupling – Pro₁₄ example



See much less difference in adjacent and separated labels But shift can be interpreted and fits theoretical prediction

Theoretical model of PPII coupling



Same approach works with 3₁₀-helices, except signs, length – Lakhani, et al JPCB 2011 ⁵⁶



57 H.Chi, et al. J Phys Chem 2010

β-sheets 2nd common secondary structural type **Problem :** Multiple types:

- Parallel and anti-parallel
- In- and out-of register
- Flat and twisted
- Stacked, aggregates and fibrils

All can affect spectra, IR, Raman, VCD etc. *Theory & isotopes can help sort out effects Hairpins offer small, monomers to start study Hydrophobic interactions or turn design stabilize*

Strand alignment in β -sheets





H-bonds straighter, two kinds of rings

Identical rings, bent H-bonds

Transfer of property tensors – β sheet a bit different

(Bour et al, *J. Comp. Chem.* 18, 646, 1997) target "LARGE" molecule

source "small" molecule:

FF, APT, AAT from DFT: BPW91/6-31G**

empirical couple FF, APT, AAT

The small molecule "overlaps" residue type with all corresponding parts of the target structure local interactions between fragments and in strand are included .

Parameters from the <u>edge ends</u> are transferred onto the <u>edge corners</u>.



Parameters from the *inner strand ends* are transferred onto the *inner ends*.

β-sheet applications: Kubelka & Keiderling JACS 2001.



¹³C=O isotopic labeling of TZ2C Simulations predict ¹³C=O coupling



Summary – equilibrium variation

Tm values differ for ¹²C and ¹³C modes Also differ for frequency shift and intensity change

Inconsistent with 2-state model for unfolding

Fits earlier conclusions, folding multistate ensemble

Dynamics might give mechanistic insight

Acknowledgements

UIC work:

Hairpin/helix studies Dr. Rong Huang Dr. Ling Wu Dr. Heng Chi Fibril studies: Dr. Heng Chi (Aurora) – Huai'an Dr. Ge Zhang Dr. Ahmed Lakhani Fernando (Ralph) Tobias Modelling Dr. Anjan Roy Yue Wei Dr. Ahmed Lakhani, Allen Walker (Coll.St.Joseph) NMR: Dr. Dan McElheny (UIC)



Fibrils – Prof. Wojciech Dzwolak – *Warsaw* Theory collaborators: Prof. Jan Kubelka, Will Welch – *Wyoming* Prof. Petr Bour, Jiri Kessler – *Czech Academy* Frank Vazquez – *UIC, IBM* Dynamics – Prof. Karin Hauser, Alex Popp, David Scheerer

Funding: NSF (previous) and Humboldt Foundation