

A Single Axiom for Boolean Algebras

Léonard Kwuida

Bern University of Applied Sciences
Switzerland

April 11, 2014

Eloy Renedo, Enric Trillas, Claudi Alsina (2003)

The only De Morgan algebras and the only orthomodular lattices in which

$$(x \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta = y \vee (x^\Delta \wedge y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (1)$$

holds, are Boolean algebras.

Michiro Kondo, Wieslaw A. Dudek (2008)

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta)$ of type $(2, 2, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff

$$(L, \wedge, \vee) \text{ is a bounded lattice with } 1^\Delta = 0 \text{ and} \quad (2)$$

$$(x \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta = y \vee (x^\Delta \wedge y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (3)$$

Eloy Renedo, Enric Trillas, Claudi Alsina (2003)

The only De Morgan algebras and the only orthomodular lattices in which

$$(x \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta = y \vee (x^\Delta \wedge y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (1)$$

holds, are Boolean algebras.

Michiro Kondo, Wieslaw A. Dudek (2008)

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta)$ of type $(2, 2, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff

$$(L, \wedge, \vee) \text{ is a bounded lattice with } 1^\Delta = 0 \text{ and} \quad (2)$$

$$(x \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta = y \vee (x^\Delta \wedge y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (3)$$

LK (2009)

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta)$ of type $(2, 2, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff

$$(L, \wedge, \vee) \text{ is a non empty lattice and} \quad (4)$$

$$(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (5)$$

$$x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x \quad (6)$$

$$\implies x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) \quad (7)$$

$$\implies x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \quad (8)$$

Each concept x decomposes into a negative and a positive part with respect to any other concept y , as well for the disjunction as for the conjunction.

LK (2009)

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta)$ of type $(2, 2, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff

$$(L, \wedge, \vee) \text{ is a non empty lattice and} \quad (4)$$

$$(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (5)$$

$$x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x \quad (6)$$

$$\implies x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) \quad (7)$$

$$\implies x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \quad (8)$$

Each concept x decomposes into a negative and a positive part with respect to any other concept y , as well for the disjunction as for the conjunction.

LK (2009)

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta)$ of type $(2, 2, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff

$$(L, \wedge, \vee) \text{ is a non empty lattice and} \quad (4)$$

$$(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (5)$$

$$x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x \quad (6)$$

$$\implies x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) \quad (7)$$

$$\implies x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \quad (8)$$

Each concept x decomposes into a negative and a positive part with respect to any other concept y , as well for the disjunction as for the conjunction.

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta)$ of type $(2, 2, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff

$$(L, \wedge, \vee) \text{ is a non empty lattice and} \quad (4)$$

$$(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (5)$$

$$x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x \quad (6)$$

$$\implies x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) \quad (7)$$

$$\implies x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \quad (8)$$

Each concept x decomposes into a negative and a positive part with respect to any other concept y , as well for the disjunction as for the conjunction.

LK (2009)

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta)$ of type $(2, 2, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff

$$(L, \wedge, \vee) \text{ is a non empty lattice and} \quad (4)$$

$$(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \text{ for all } x, y \in L. \quad (5)$$

$$x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x \quad (6)$$

$$\implies x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) \quad (7)$$

$$\implies x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \quad (8)$$

Each concept x decomposes into a negative and a positive part with respect to any other concept y , as well for the disjunction as for the conjunction.

Definition

A **weakly dicomplemented lattice** is a bounded lattice L equipped with two unary operations Δ and ∇ called **weak complementation** and **dual weak complementation**, and satisfying for all $x, y \in L$

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

We call

- x^{Δ} the **weak complement** of x
- x^{∇} the **dual weak complement** of x
- (x^{Δ}, x^{∇}) the **weak dicomplement** of x
- (Δ, ∇) a **weak dicomplementation** on L
- $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ a **weakly complemented lattice** and
- $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ a **dual weakly complemented lattice**.

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ wdl iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ bounded lattice and

$$(1) \quad x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$$

$$(1') \quad x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$$

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$$

$$(2') \quad x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$$

$$(3) \quad (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$$

$$(3') \quad (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$$

It follows

$$y \vee y^{\Delta} = 1,$$

$$y \wedge y^{\nabla} = 0,$$

$$0^{\Delta} = 1$$

$$1^{\nabla} = 0$$

$$(x \wedge y)^{\Delta} = x^{\Delta} \vee y^{\Delta}$$

$$(x \vee y)^{\nabla} = x^{\nabla} \wedge y^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta} = x^{\Delta},$$

$$x^{\nabla\nabla\nabla} = x^{\nabla}$$

$$x^{\nabla} \leq x^{\Delta}$$

$$x^{\Delta\nabla} \leq x \leq x^{\nabla\Delta}$$

- $x \mapsto x^{\Delta\Delta}$ is a kernel operator on L
- $x \mapsto x^{\nabla\nabla}$ is a closure operator on L

Examples of wdl's

Boolean algebras

If $(B, \wedge, \vee, \bar{}, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra then $(B, \wedge, \vee, \bar{}, \bar{}, 0, 1)$ is a weakly dicomplemented lattice.

Finite Lattices

Let L be a finite lattice. Set $J(L)$ the set of join irreducible and by $M(L)$ the set of meet irreducible elements of L respectively.

For $G \supseteq J(L)$ and $H \supseteq M(L)$, define Δ_G and ∇_H by

$$x^{\Delta_G} := \bigvee \{a \in G \mid a \not\leq x\} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{\nabla_H} := \bigwedge \{m \in H \mid m \not\leq x\}.$$

Thus $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta_G, \nabla_H, 0, 1)$ is a weakly dicomplemented lattice.

Examples of wdl's

Boolean algebras

If $(B, \wedge, \vee, \bar{}, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra then $(B, \wedge, \vee, \bar{}, \bar{}, 0, 1)$ is a weakly dicomplemented lattice.

Finite Lattices

Let L be a finite lattice. Set $J(L)$ the set of join irreducible and by $M(L)$ the set of meet irreducible elements of L respectively.

For $G \supseteq J(L)$ and $H \supseteq M(L)$, define Δ_G and ∇_H by

$$x^{\Delta_G} := \bigvee \{a \in G \mid a \not\leq x\} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{\nabla_H} := \bigwedge \{m \in H \mid m \not\leq x\}.$$

Thus $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta_G, \nabla_H, 0, 1)$ is a weakly dicomplemented lattice.

Examples of wdl's

Boolean algebras

If $(B, \wedge, \vee, \bar{}, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra then $(B, \wedge, \vee, \bar{}, \bar{}, 0, 1)$ is a weakly dicomplemented lattice.

Finite Lattices

Let L be a finite lattice. Set $J(L)$ the set of join irreducible and by $M(L)$ the set of meet irreducible elements of L respectively.

For $G \supseteq J(L)$ and $H \supseteq M(L)$, define Δ_G and ∇_H by

$$x^{\Delta_G} := \bigvee \{a \in G \mid a \not\leq x\} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{\nabla_H} := \bigwedge \{m \in H \mid m \not\leq x\}.$$

Thus $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta_G, \nabla_H, 0, 1)$ is a weakly dicomplemented lattice.

Negation on closure and kernel systems

Let h be a closure operator on a set X and k a kernel operator on a set Y . For $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$ define $A^{\Delta_h} := h(X \setminus A)$ and $B^{\nabla_k} := k(Y \setminus B)$.

- (i) $(h\mathcal{P}(X), \cap, \vee^h, \Delta_h, h\emptyset, X)$, with $A_1 \vee^h A_2 := h(A_1 \cup A_2)$, is a weakly complemented lattice.
- (i') $(k\mathcal{P}(Y), \wedge_k, \cup, \nabla_k, \emptyset, kY)$, with $B_1 \wedge_k B_2 := k(B_1 \cap B_2)$, is a dual weakly complemented lattice.
- (ii) If $h\mathcal{P}(X)$ is isomorphic to $k\mathcal{P}(Y)$, then h and k induce weakly dicomplemented lattice structures on $h\mathcal{P}(X)$ and on $k\mathcal{P}(Y)$ that are extensions of those in (i) and (i') above respectively.

Let φ be an isomorphism from $h\mathcal{P}(X)$ to $k\mathcal{P}(Y)$. Set $L := \{(x, y) \in h\mathcal{P}(X) \times k\mathcal{P}(Y) \mid y = \varphi(x)\}$. Then L has a weakly dicomplemented lattice structure induced by h and k .

Formal Concept Analysis

- is based on the the formalization of the notion of *concept*
- Traditional philosophers considered a **concept** to be determined by its extent and its intent. The **extent** consists of all objects belonging to the concept while the **intent** is the set of all attributes shared by all objects of the concept.
- The **concept hierarchy** states that a concept is more general if it contains more objects, or equivalently, if it is determined by less attributes.
- A **context** or universe of discourse can be seen as a relation involving objects and attributes of interest.
- What is the negation of a concept?
- How can it be formalized?

Formal Concept Analysis

- **formal context:** $\mathbb{K} := (G, M, I)$ with $I \subseteq G \times M$.
- G \equiv set of **objects** M \equiv set of **attributes**.
- $g I m : \iff (g, m) \in I$. g has attribute m .

$$A' := \{m \in M \mid \forall g \in A \ g I m\} \text{ and } B' := \{g \in G \mid \forall m \in B \ g I m\}$$

- **Formal concept:** (A, B) with $A' = B$ and $B' = A$.
- A is the **extent** and B the **intent** of the concept (A, B) .
- $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{K}) :=$ set of all formal concepts of \mathbb{K} .
- A concept (A, B) is a **subconcept** of a concept (C, D) if $A \subseteq C$ (or equivalently, $D \subseteq B$). write $(A, B) \leq (C, D)$.
- $c : X \mapsto X''$ is a closure operator on $\mathcal{P}(G)$ and on $\mathcal{P}(M)$.
- $(\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{K}); \leq)$ is a complete lattice, called **concept lattice** of \mathbb{K} .

Weak Negation and weak opposition

To formalize a negation two operations are introduced:

Definition

Let \mathbb{K} be a context and (A, B) a formal concept of \mathbb{K} . We define

its **weak negation** by $(A, B)^\Delta := ((G \setminus A)'' , (G \setminus A)')$

and its **weak opposition** by $(A, B)^\nabla := ((M \setminus B)' , (M \setminus B)'')$.

$\mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{K}) := (\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{K}); \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is called the **concept algebra** of the formal context \mathbb{K} , where \wedge and \vee denote the meet and the join operations of the concept lattice.

$\mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{K}) := (\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{K}); \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is a weakly dicomplemented lattice.

Boundness for free

Weakly complemented lattices are exactly non empty lattices satisfying the equations (1)–(3).

i.e. Each nonempty lattice satisfying (1)–(3) is bounded.

Let L be a non empty lattice satisfying (1)–(3').

- Let $x \in L$. Set $1 := x \vee x^\Delta$ and $0 := 1^\Delta$. Let $y \in L$ (arbitrary).
- $1 \geq y \wedge 1 = y \wedge (x \vee x^\Delta) \geq (y \wedge x) \vee (y \wedge x^\Delta) = y$
- Thus $x \vee x^\Delta$ is the greatest element of L .
- $(y \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta \geq y^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta} = 1$; $\implies (y \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta = 1$.
- Let $z \in L$

$$0 \wedge z = 1^\Delta \wedge z = (y \wedge y^\Delta)^{\Delta\Delta} \wedge z \leq y \wedge y^\Delta \wedge z \leq y \wedge z$$

$$0 \wedge z^\Delta = 1^\Delta \wedge z^\Delta = (y \wedge y^\Delta)^{\Delta\Delta} \wedge z^\Delta \leq y \wedge y^\Delta \wedge z^\Delta \leq y \wedge z^\Delta$$

$$0 = (0 \wedge z) \vee (0 \wedge z^\Delta) \leq (y \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z^\Delta) = y$$

Boundness for free

Weakly complemented lattices are exactly non empty lattices satisfying the equations (1)–(3).

i.e. Each nonempty lattice satisfying (1)–(3) is bounded.

Let L be a non empty lattice satisfying (1)–(3').

- Let $x \in L$. Set $1 := x \vee x^\Delta$ and $0 := 1^\Delta$. Let $y \in L$ (arbitrary).
- $1 \geq y \wedge 1 = y \wedge (x \vee x^\Delta) \geq (y \wedge x) \vee (y \wedge x^\Delta) = y$
- Thus $x \vee x^\Delta$ is the greatest element of L .
- $(y \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta \geq y^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta} = 1$; $\implies (y \wedge y^\Delta)^\Delta = 1$.
- Let $z \in L$

$$0 \wedge z = 1^\Delta \wedge z = (y \wedge y^\Delta)^{\Delta\Delta} \wedge z \leq y \wedge y^\Delta \wedge z \leq y \wedge z$$

$$0 \wedge z^\Delta = 1^\Delta \wedge z^\Delta = (y \wedge y^\Delta)^{\Delta\Delta} \wedge z^\Delta \leq y \wedge y^\Delta \wedge z^\Delta \leq y \wedge z^\Delta$$

$$0 = (0 \wedge z) \vee (0 \wedge z^\Delta) \leq (y \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z^\Delta) = y$$

Weakly Dicomplemented Lattices with Negation

A weakly dicomplemented lattice is said to be **with negation** if $\Delta = \nabla$.

Theorem

A weakly dicomplemented lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is with negation iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ are Boolean algebras.

- Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ with $\Delta = \nabla$.
- $x \vee x^\Delta = 1$ and $x \wedge x^\nabla = 0$. Then x^Δ is a complement of x .
- What about the distributivity?
- The idea is to show that any weakly dicomplemented lattice with negation having at least three elements is not subdirectly irreducible.
- i.e. for any $L \in \text{WDN}$ with $|L| \geq 3$ there is $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(L)$ such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$, the trivial congruence.

Weakly Dicomplemented Lattices with Negation

A weakly dicomplemented lattice is said to be **with negation** if $\Delta = \nabla$.

Theorem

A weakly dicomplemented lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is with negation iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ are Boolean algebras.

- Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ with $\Delta = \nabla$.
- $x \vee x^\Delta = 1$ and $x \wedge x^\nabla = 0$. Then x^Δ is a complement of x .
- What about the distributivity?
- The idea is to show that any weakly dicomplemented lattice with negation having at least three elements is not subdirectly irreducible.
- i.e. for any $L \in \text{WDN}$ with $|L| \geq 3$ there is $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(L)$ such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$, the trivial congruence.

Weakly Dicomplemented Lattices with Negation

A weakly dicomplemented lattice is said to be **with negation** if $\Delta = \nabla$.

Theorem

A weakly dicomplemented lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is with negation iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ are Boolean algebras.

- Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ with $\Delta = \nabla$.
- $x \vee x^\Delta = 1$ and $x \wedge x^\nabla = 0$. Then x^Δ is a complement of x .
- What about the distributivity?
- The idea is to show that any weakly dicomplemented lattice with negation having at least three elements is not subdirectly irreducible.
- i.e. for any $L \in \text{WDN}$ with $|L| \geq 3$ there is $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(L)$ such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$, the trivial congruence.

Weakly Dicomplemented Lattices with Negation

A weakly dicomplemented lattice is said to be **with negation** if $\Delta = \nabla$.

Theorem

A weakly dicomplemented lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is with negation iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ are Boolean algebras.

- Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ with $\Delta = \nabla$.
- $x \vee x^\Delta = 1$ and $x \wedge x^\nabla = 0$. Then x^Δ is a complement of x .
- What about the distributivity?
- The idea is to show that any weakly dicomplemented lattice with negation having at least three elements is not subdirectly irreducible.
- i.e. for any $L \in \text{WDN}$ with $|L| \geq 3$ there is $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(L)$ such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$, the trivial congruence.

Weakly Dicomplemented Lattices with Negation

A weakly dicomplemented lattice is said to be **with negation** if $\Delta = \nabla$.

Theorem

A weakly dicomplemented lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is with negation iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ are Boolean algebras.

- Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ with $\Delta = \nabla$.
- $x \vee x^\Delta = 1$ and $x \wedge x^\nabla = 0$. Then x^Δ is a complement of x .
- What about the distributivity?
- The idea is to show that any weakly dicomplemented lattice with negation having at least three elements is not subdirectly irreducible.
- i.e. for any $L \in \text{WDN}$ with $|L| \geq 3$ there is $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(L)$ such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$, the trivial congruence.

Weakly Dicomplemented Lattices with Negation

A weakly dicomplemented lattice is said to be **with negation** if $\Delta = \nabla$.

Theorem

A weakly dicomplemented lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is with negation iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ are Boolean algebras.

- Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ with $\Delta = \nabla$.
- $x \vee x^\Delta = 1$ and $x \wedge x^\nabla = 0$. Then x^Δ is a complement of x .
- What about the distributivity?
- The idea is to show that any weakly dicomplemented lattice with negation having at least three elements is not subdirectly irreducible.
- i.e. for any $L \in \text{WDN}$ with $|L| \geq 3$ there is $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(L)$ such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$, the trivial congruence.

Weakly Dicomplemented Lattices with Negation

A weakly dicomplemented lattice is said to be **with negation** if $\Delta = \nabla$.

Theorem

A weakly dicomplemented lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ is with negation iff $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ and $(L, \wedge, \vee, \nabla, 0, 1)$ are Boolean algebras.

- Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, \nabla, 0, 1)$ with $\Delta = \nabla$.
- $x \vee x^\Delta = 1$ and $x \wedge x^\nabla = 0$. Then x^Δ is a complement of x .
- What about the distributivity?
- The idea is to show that any weakly dicomplemented lattice with negation having at least three elements is not subdirectly irreducible.
- i.e. for any $L \in \text{WDN}$ with $|L| \geq 3$ there is $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \text{Con}(L)$ such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$, the trivial congruence.

(i) For $c \in L \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have $[c, 1] \cong [0, c^\Delta]$, since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_{c^\Delta} : [c, 1] & \rightarrow & [0, c^\Delta] \\ x & \mapsto & x \wedge c^\Delta \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} v_c : [0, c^\Delta] & \rightarrow & [c, 1] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c \end{array}$$

are order preserving and inverse of each other.

(ii) The maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_1 : L & \rightarrow & [c^\Delta, 1] \rightarrow [0, c^{\Delta\Delta}] = [0, c] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c^\Delta \mapsto (x \vee c^\Delta) \wedge c = x \wedge c \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_2 : L & \rightarrow & [c, 1] \rightarrow [0, c^\Delta] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c \mapsto (x \vee c) \wedge c^\Delta = x \wedge c^\Delta \end{array}$$

are lattice homomorphisms.

(iii) We set $\theta_1 := \ker f_1$ and $\theta_2 := \ker f_2$. Then $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \theta_1 \cap \theta_2 &\implies x \wedge c = y \wedge c \text{ and } x \wedge c^\Delta = y \wedge c^\Delta \\ &\implies x = (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge c^\Delta) = (y \wedge c) \vee (y \wedge c^\Delta) = y \\ &\implies (x, y) \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

(i) For $c \in L \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have $[c, 1] \cong [0, c^\Delta]$, since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_{c^\Delta} : [c, 1] & \rightarrow & [0, c^\Delta] \\ x & \mapsto & x \wedge c^\Delta \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} v_c : [0, c^\Delta] & \rightarrow & [c, 1] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c \end{array}$$

are order preserving and inverse of each other.

(ii) The maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_1 : L & \rightarrow & [c^\Delta, 1] \rightarrow [0, c^{\Delta\Delta}] = [0, c] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c^\Delta \mapsto (x \vee c^\Delta) \wedge c = x \wedge c \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_2 : L & \rightarrow & [c, 1] \rightarrow [0, c^\Delta] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c \mapsto (x \vee c) \wedge c^\Delta = x \wedge c^\Delta \end{array}$$

are lattice homomorphisms.

(iii) We set $\theta_1 := \ker f_1$ and $\theta_2 := \ker f_2$. Then $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \theta_1 \cap \theta_2 &\implies x \wedge c = y \wedge c \text{ and } x \wedge c^\Delta = y \wedge c^\Delta \\ &\implies x = (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge c^\Delta) = (y \wedge c) \vee (y \wedge c^\Delta) = y \\ &\implies (x, y) \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

(i) For $c \in L \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have $[c, 1] \cong [0, c^\Delta]$, since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_{c^\Delta} : [c, 1] & \rightarrow & [0, c^\Delta] \\ x & \mapsto & x \wedge c^\Delta \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} v_c : [0, c^\Delta] & \rightarrow & [c, 1] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c \end{array}$$

are order preserving and inverse of each other.

(ii) The maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_1 : L & \rightarrow & [c^\Delta, 1] \rightarrow [0, c^{\Delta\Delta}] = [0, c] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c^\Delta \mapsto (x \vee c^\Delta) \wedge c = x \wedge c \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_2 : L & \rightarrow & [c, 1] \rightarrow [0, c^\Delta] \\ x & \mapsto & x \vee c \mapsto (x \vee c) \wedge c^\Delta = x \wedge c^\Delta \end{array}$$

are lattice homomorphisms.

(iii) We set $\theta_1 := \ker f_1$ and $\theta_2 := \ker f_2$. Then $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \Delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \theta_1 \cap \theta_2 &\implies x \wedge c = y \wedge c \text{ and } x \wedge c^\Delta = y \wedge c^\Delta \\ &\implies x = (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge c^\Delta) = (y \wedge c) \vee (y \wedge c^\Delta) = y \\ &\implies (x, y) \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff (L, \wedge, \vee) is a non empty lattice in which

- 1 $x^{\Delta\Delta} = x$,
- 2 $x \leq y \implies x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$
- 3 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

hold.

Recall that

- | | |
|---|---|
| (1) $x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x$, | (1') $x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x$, |
| (2) $x \leq y \implies x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$, | (2') $x \leq y \implies x^\nabla \geq y^\nabla$, |
| (3) $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x$, | (3') $(x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\nabla) = x$. |

and $\Delta = \nabla$

Corollary

$(L, \wedge, \vee, \Delta, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff (L, \wedge, \vee) is a non empty lattice in which

- 1 $x^{\Delta\Delta} = x,$
- 2 $x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta}$
- 3 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\Delta}).$

hold.

Recall that

- | | |
|---|--|
| (1) $x^{\Delta\Delta} \leq x,$ | (1') $x^{\nabla\nabla} \geq x,$ |
| (2) $x \leq y \implies x^{\Delta} \geq y^{\Delta},$ | (2') $x \leq y \implies x^{\nabla} \geq y^{\nabla},$ |
| (3) $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^{\Delta}) = x,$ | (3') $(x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^{\nabla}) = x.$ |

and $\Delta = \nabla$

Theorem (A new axiom for Boolean algebras)

An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \triangle, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra iff (L, \wedge, \vee) is a non empty lattice in which

$$(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\triangle) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\triangle) \text{ holds for all } x, y \in L \quad (\ddagger).$$

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$

$$x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$$

$$x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1. \text{ Thus}$$

$$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$

$x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$

$x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$
Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$
Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$

Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.

(i) $x \geq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta) \geq x$ implies
 $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta) = x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta)$.

(ii) $x = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee y^\Delta); \implies y \wedge y^\Delta = 0;$
 $x = (x \wedge x^\Delta) \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 0 \vee (x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \wedge x^{\Delta\Delta}$
Hence $x \leq x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

$x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge y^\Delta); \implies y \vee y^\Delta = 1;$
 $x = (x \vee x^\Delta) \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = 1 \wedge (x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}) = x \vee x^{\Delta\Delta}$
Hence $x \geq x^{\Delta\Delta}$. Therefore $x = x^{\Delta\Delta}$.

(iii) Let $x \leq y$.

$x \vee x^\Delta = 1 \implies y \vee x^\Delta = 1$. Thus

$x^\Delta = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y^{\Delta\Delta}) = (x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta) \wedge (x^\Delta \vee y) = x^\Delta \vee y^\Delta$

and $x^\Delta \geq y^\Delta$.