Fischer information and resolution beyond the Rayleigh limit

Z. Hradil, J. Řeháček, B. Stoklasa, L. Mot'ka, M. Paúr Department of Optics, Palacký University, Olomouc, Czech Rep.

L.L. Sánchez-Soto, Departamento de Óptica, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain and Max-Planck-Institut für die Physik des Lichts, Erlangen, Germany







Background: Precision and Fischer information and in optics

Quantum Fischer Information in general

Simple Rationale behind the two-point resolution

Measurement and parameter estimation

Measurement: Born rule for (normalized) measurement on j-channel of transformed state

$$p_j(s) = \langle j | \rho(s) | j \rangle \quad \rho(s) = U(s)^{\dagger} \rho U$$

- Estimation: read-out of the parameter s from the registered values
- Variance of any unbiased estimation is limited by the Fisher Information (FI)
- Fisher information can be optimized over all possible detections yielding the Quantum Fisher Information (QFI)

FI and QFI

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \log p_n(s)}{\partial s}\right)^2\right] = \sum_n \frac{[p'_n(s)]^2}{p_n(s)}$$

Fischer information for parameter estimation

For QFI, see the arguments of Helstrom 1975 ... The necessary ingredient are symmetric logarithmic derivation expressed in diagonalizing basis. Zero eigenvalues cannot be neglected but eliminated !

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s} = 1/2(\mathcal{L}\rho + \rho\mathcal{L}) \qquad \rho = \sum \lambda_i |\varphi_i\rangle \langle \varphi_i |$$

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = Tr(\rho \mathcal{L}^2) = 2 \sum_{m,n} \frac{|\langle \varphi_n | \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s} | \varphi_m \rangle|^2}{\lambda_n + \lambda_n}$$

Example: QFI for pure state

$$\rho(s) = |\Psi(s)\rangle \langle \Psi(s)|$$

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = 4 \langle \Psi(s) | (\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s})^2 | \Psi(s) \rangle$$

This will be relevant to our optical example! Problems of QFI: large ambiguity as far measurement is concerned, many aspects of optimality

Two-point resolution

$$\varrho_s = q |\Psi_+\rangle \langle \Psi_+| + (1-q) |\Psi_-\rangle \langle \Psi_-|$$

$$|\Psi_{\pm}\rangle = e^{\pm isP/2} |\Psi\rangle$$

- FI and QFI for two-point resolution: Tsang 2016
- Experiment and "geometrical arguments" see previous Jarda's Ř. talk
- Here: optical arguments and symmetry arguments" for optimal measurement achieving QFI

Assume symmetry of the point-spread-function as well as the symmetry of the measurement

$$\Psi(x) = \Psi(-x) \qquad \qquad \langle x|n \rangle = \pm \langle -x|n \rangle$$

The measurement does not feel the two-component structure of the signal! The original two-point resolution problem has been effectively transformed to localization of a single point source.

$$p_n \equiv |a_n|^2 = |\langle n|\Psi_{\pm}\rangle|^2$$

QFI can be obtained from FI just by expressing probabilities by complex amplitudes ...

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{n} \frac{[p'_{n}(s)]^{2}}{p_{n}(s)}$$
$$= 4 \sum_{n} |\frac{\partial a_{n}}{\partial s}|^{2} + \sum_{n} \frac{1}{p_{n}} [a_{n}^{*} \frac{\partial a_{n}}{\partial s} - a_{n} \frac{\partial a_{n}^{*}}{\partial s}]^{2}$$

Optimality conditions:

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(a_n \frac{\partial a_n^*}{\partial s}\right) = 0$$

Measurement achieving FQI

There is an ambiguity how to fulfill the optimality conditions. The ultimate resolution should not be considered as a rarity, but rather as a feature shared by many permissible detection schemes. How to do the detection efficiently? Suggestion: Project the signal on a set of orthonormalized derivatives of $\Psi(x)$ -PSF adapted schemes

$$\Phi_n(p) \equiv \langle p | n \rangle = Q_n(p) \Psi(p)$$
$$\Phi_n(x) \equiv \langle x | n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int Q_n(p) \Psi(p) e^{ipx}$$

Example 1: Gaussian PSF

$$\Psi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/4} \exp(-x^2/4), \quad \sigma = 1$$

The optimal PSF-adapted set : Hermite-Gauss modes

$$\mathcal{F}_s = 1/4$$

Example 2: Sinc PSF

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\operatorname{sinc}(x), \ \Psi(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\operatorname{rect}(p/2)$$

The optimal PSF-adapted set :

Legendre polynomials orthogonal on (-1/2,1/2)

$$a_n = \langle n | \Psi_{\pm} \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2n+1}}{2} \int_{-1}^1 L_n(p) \, e^{-isp/2} \, dp$$

$$\Phi_n(x) = \sqrt{n+1/2} \frac{J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(x)}{\sqrt{x}}$$
$$\mathcal{F}_s = 1/3$$

Example 2: Sinc PSF...

Efficient measurement modes:

$$\Phi_n(x) = \sqrt{n + 1/2} \ \frac{J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(x)}{\sqrt{x}}$$

Fischer information consists of partial contributions:

$$\mathcal{F}_{s,n} = \frac{\pi \left[nJ_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \left(s/2 \right) - (n+1)J_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \left(s/2 \right) \right]^2}{(2n+1)s}$$
$$\mathcal{F}_s = 1/3$$



FI for the first D projections on the HG basis with arbitrarily chosen $\sigma = \pi$ (orange bars) and the PSF Sinc adapted measurement, **Separation s= 1**, **Rayleigh limit =** π . More than a hundred of Hermite-Gauss projections must be measured to access 98.5% of the QFI (horizontal red line), whereas just three projections of the PSF-adapted measurement are sufficient.



As before, Separation s= 2, Rayleigh limit = π



As before, Separation s= 15, Rayleigh limit = π



ARTICLE

Received 1 Sep 2013 | Accepted 17 Jan 2014 | Published 7 Feb 2014

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms4275

Wavefront sensing reveals optical coherence

B. Stoklasa¹, L. Motka¹, J. Rehacek¹, Z. Hradil¹ & L.L. Sánchez-Soto²



Fisher Info Matrix provides a useful tool for assessing the performance of reconstruction schemes

- Z. Hradil, J. Rehacek, Quantum interference and Fisher information, Phys. Lett. A 334 (2005) 267-272.
- J. Rehacek et al,., Tomography for quantum diagnostics, New Journal of Physics 10 (2008) 043022.
- Rehacek, J et. al., Determining which quantum measurement performs better for state estimation PHYSICAL REVIEW A, 2015, 92, 012108.
- L. Motka et. al., Optical resolution from Fisher information, Eur. Phys. J. Plus (2016) 131: 130. doi:10.1140/ epjp/i2016-16130-7
- Rehacek, J et al., Surmounting intrinsic quantum-measurement uncertainties in Gaussian-state tomography with quadrature squeezing, SCIENTIFIC REPORTS, 2015, 5, 12289
- C. R. Muller et. al., Evading Vacuum Noise: Wigner Projections or Husimi Samples? Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 070801 (2016). doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.070801
- Y. S. Teo et. al, A fast universal performance certification of measurements for quantum tomography, Phys. Rev. A 94, 022113 (2016). <u>doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.022113</u>.
- M. Paúr et al., Achieving the ultimate optical resolution, Optica 3, pp. 1144-1147 (2016). <u>doi.org/10.1364/</u> <u>OPTICA.3.001144</u>.
- J. Rehacek, et al., Optimal measurements for resolution beyond the Rayleigh limit, to appear in Opt. Lett. 42 (2017), January 2017.

Thanks for your attention!