## Krajca P., Outrata J., Vychodil V.: Computing Formal Concepts by Attribute Sorting

## Introduction

:: Formal concept analysis (FCA) is a method of tabular data analysis
:: used for data mining, knowledge discovery, information retrieval, data preprocessing
: input - object-attribute table, i.e., two dimensional table with rows representing objects, columns their attributes (features), where crosses indicate that particular object has particular attribute


Table 1.: Formal context
:: output - all maximal submatrices full of $x$ 's present in table

- these submatrices are natural concepts hidden in the data (e.g., animal, fish)
- form a hierarchy (e.g., fish $\leq$ animal)

Definitions
:: Formal context $\mathbb{K}$ is a triplet $\langle X, Y, I\rangle$, where $X$ and $Y$ ar non-empty sets and $I \subseteq X \times Y$. ( $X \ldots$ set of objects, $Y$ $\ldots$ set of attributes, $\langle x, y\rangle \in I \ldots$ object $x$ has attribute $y$ )
Concept-forming operators: For a formal context $\mathbb{K}=\langle X, Y, I\rangle$, operators $\uparrow_{\mathfrak{k}}: 2^{X} \rightarrow 2^{Y}$ and $\downarrow \mathfrak{k}: 2^{Y} \rightarrow 2^{X}$ are defined for every $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$ by:

$$
A^{\dagger_{\mathrm{K}}}=\{y \in Y \mid \text { for each } x \in A:\langle x, y\rangle \in I\},
$$

$B^{\downarrow \mathrm{K}}=\{x \in X \mid$ for each $y \in B:\langle x, y\rangle \in I\}$
$A^{{ }^{\uparrow K}} \ldots$ set of all attributes shared by all objects from $A$ $B \downarrow \mathrm{k} \ldots$ set of all objects sharing all attributes from B

Formal concept in $\mathbb{K}=\langle X, Y, I\rangle$ is a pair $\langle A, B\rangle$ of $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$ such that $A^{\uparrow \mathrm{K}}=B$ and $B^{\downarrow \mathrm{K}}=A$

## Preliminaries

## R-context

: formal context derived from $\mathbb{K}=\langle X, Y, I\rangle$
:: attributes are pairs $\langle f l a g, B\rangle$ where $B$ is a subset of $Y$, flag $\in \mathbb{N}_{0}$

Definition 1. Given a formal context $\mathbb{K}=\langle X, Y, I\rangle$, a triplet $\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}=\left\langle X^{\sharp}, Y^{\sharp}, I^{\sharp}\right\rangle$ is called an $R$-context (derived from $\mathbb{K}$ ) if the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $X^{\sharp} \subseteq X$;
(2) $Y^{\sharp} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0} \times 2^{Y}$ such that for any $\left\langle n_{1}, B_{1}\right\rangle \in Y$ and $\left\langle n_{2}, B_{2}\right\rangle \in Y$ we have either that (a) $n_{1}=n_{2}$ and $B_{1}=B_{2} \neq \emptyset$ or (b) $B_{1} \neq \emptyset, B_{2} \neq \emptyset$, and $B_{1} \cap B_{2}=\emptyset$;
(3) for any $x \in X^{\sharp}$ and $\langle n, B\rangle \in Y^{\sharp}:\left\langle x, y_{1}\right\rangle \in I$ iff $\left\langle x, y_{2}\right\rangle \in I$ holds true for all $y_{1}, y_{2} \in B$;
(4) $I^{\sharp}=\left\{\langle x,\langle n, B\rangle\rangle \in X^{\sharp} \times Y^{\sharp} \mid\langle x, y\rangle \in I\right.$ for all $\left.y \in B\right\}$

Auxiliary definitions
: $R$-context derived from $\mathbb{K}$ is called initial if $X^{\sharp}=X$
$R$-context derived from $\mathbb{K}$ is
$Y^{\sharp}=\{\langle 0,\{y\}\rangle \mid y \in Y\}$, and
$I^{\sharp}=\left\{\langle x,\langle 0,\{y\}\rangle\rangle \in X^{\sharp} \times Y^{\sharp} \mid\langle x, y\rangle \in I\right\}$
$::\lfloor D\rfloor=\bigcup\{B \subseteq Y \mid\langle n, B\rangle \in D\}$, for any $D \subseteq Y^{\sharp}$
$: \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}, Y\right)=Y \backslash\left\lfloor Y^{\sharp}\right\rfloor$, for any $\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}$ derived from formal context $\langle X, Y, I\rangle$

## Clarification

:: formal context $\mathbb{K}=\langle X, Y, I\rangle$ is called clarified if for any $y_{1}, y_{2} \in Y$ it follows that $\left\{y_{1}\right\}^{\downarrow}=\left\{y_{2}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ implies $y_{1}=y_{2}$ and dually for any couple of objects

Definition 2. For any $R$-context $\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}=\left\langle X^{\sharp}, Y^{\sharp}, I^{\sharp}\right\rangle$, we define clarified context $\mathbb{K}^{\mathrm{C}}$ as a triplet $\left\langle X^{\mathrm{C}}, Y^{\mathrm{C}}, I^{\complement}\right\rangle$ where
(1) $X^{\complement}=X^{\sharp}$;
(2) $Y^{\complement}=\left\{\left\langle\sum\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \mid\langle n, B\rangle \in[y]_{\left.\overline{E x}^{k}\right\}}\right\},\left[[y]=_{\bar{K}^{\sharp}}\right\rfloor\right\rangle \mid y \in Y^{\sharp}\right\}$,
(3) $I^{\complement}=\left\{\langle x,\langle n, B\rangle\rangle \in X^{\complement} \times Y^{\complement} \mid\right.$ there is $n^{\prime} \leq n$ and $B^{\prime} \subseteq$ $B$ such that $\left.\left\langle x,\left\langle n^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle \in I^{\sharp}\right\}$.


Table 2.: Example of clarified R-context

## Algorithm

:: algorithms (Ganter, CbO, FCbO) compute some concepts multiple times (significant overhead)
observation: reordering of attributes according to their support reduces number of multiple times computed concepts
we consider a bijective map $f: Y^{\sharp} \rightarrow\left\{0, \ldots,\left|Y^{\sharp}\right|-1\right\}$ such that, for any $y_{1}, y_{2} \in Y^{\sharp}$,
if $f\left(y_{1}\right) \leq f\left(y_{2}\right)$ then $\left|\left\{y_{1}\right\}^{\downarrow_{k}}\right| \leq\left|\left\{y_{2}\right\}^{\gamma_{k}}\right|$.
:: $f$ represents a position in an ordered list of attributes $f$ represents a position in an ordered list of
which are sorted according to their support
map $f$ along with flag plays the role of the canonicity test ensuring that each concept is returned only once
Sketch of the Algorithm
algorithm (procedure Compute) starts with a clarified initial $R$-context
computes closure for each attribute having zero flag
if closure does not contain any attribute with nonzero flag, it is used to derive new $R$-context
:: new context is clarified and flags are updated algorithm recursively applies procedure Compute

## Procedure Compute $\left(\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}\right)$

store $\left\langle X^{\sharp}, \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}, Y\right)\right\rangle$
for $\langle n, B\rangle \in Y^{\sharp}$ do
if $n=0$ then
set $\langle C, D\rangle$ to $\left.\langle\langle n, B\rangle\rangle_{\left.\left.\mathrm{k}^{\ddagger},\langle n, B\rangle\right\rangle_{\mathrm{k}^{\ddagger}}\right\rangle}^{\text {if }}\right\rangle$
if $\sum\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \mid\langle n, B\rangle \in D\right\}=0$ then $\operatorname{Compute}\left(\operatorname{Reduce}\left(\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}, C, D\right)\right)$
:: Reduce( $\left.\mathbb{K}^{\sharp}, C, D\right)$ - returns clarified $R$-context such that
(1) $X^{\Re}=C$;
(2) $Y^{\Re}=\left\{\operatorname{Attr}(y) \mid y \in Y^{\sharp}\right.$ and $\left.y \notin D\right\}$, where $\operatorname{Attr}(y) \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \times 2^{Y}$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Attr}(\langle n, B\rangle)= \begin{cases}\langle | B|, B\rangle, & \text { if } n=0 \text { and } \\ \langle n, B\rangle, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

(3) $I^{\Re}=\left\{\langle x,\langle n, B\rangle\rangle \in X^{\Re} \times Y^{\mathfrak{\Re}} \mid\right.$ there is $n^{\prime} \leq n$ such that $\left.\left\langle x,\left\langle n^{\prime}, B\right\rangle\right\rangle \in I^{\sharp}\right\}$.
attribute $\langle 0, B\rangle \in Y^{\sharp}$ will be given a nonzero flag in $Y^{\Re}$ if it is not in $D$ and if it stays before $\min (D)$ in terms of the order of attributes

Complexity and Efficiency


Figure 1.: Invocations of the Compute procedure

## Complexity

:: complexity: $O\left(|\mathcal{B}(X, Y, I)| \cdot|X| \cdot|Y|^{2}\right)$, where $\mathcal{B}(X, Y, I)$ is a set of all formal concepts in a formal context $\langle X, Y, I\rangle$
:: polynomial time delay: $O\left(|Y|^{3} \cdot|X|\right)$

## Comparisons

|  | debian tags | anon. web. | mushroom |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| size | $14,315 \times 475$ | $32,710 \times 295$ | $8,124 \times 119$ |
| density | $<1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| \# concepts | 38,977 | 129,009 | 238,710 |
| Attr. sort. | 44,221 | 135,925 | 246,181 |
| FCbO (ord.) | 298,641 | 398,147 | 299,201 |
| FCbO | 679,911 | $1,475,341$ | 426,563 |
| CbO (ord.) | 960,106 | 785,394 | $1,321,524$ |
| CbO | $12,045,680$ | $27,949,552$ | $4,006,498$ |

Table 3.: Number of closures computed by selected algorithms from CbO family

|  | mean value | std. dev. | median value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CbO | $3,359.88$ | 505.51 | 3294 |
| CbO (ord.) | $1,394.08$ | 78.19 | 1,395 |
| FCbO | 860.41 | 49.17 | 860 |
| FCbO (ord.) | 853.87 | 47.80 | 852 |
| Attr. sort. | 240.83 | 8.34 | 241 |
| \# concepts | 227.58 | 6.79 | 228 |

Table 4.: Computed closures in datasets $\mathbf{5 0 \times 5 0}$ with $10 \%$ density of 1 's
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