

Motivation

- Triadic Galois connection are fundamental structures behind analysis of relational data
- Representation by ordinary structures allows to automatically transfer some of the known results from ordinary case to fuzzy case
- Demonstrate that the idea of using ordinary notions to represent their fuzzy counterparts is useful

Triadic Concept analysis

- Method of analysis of tree-way relational data that aims at extraction of a hierarchically ordered set of clusters
- Growing interest in analysing three-way data. Kolda T. G., Bader B. W.: Tensor decompositions and applications. SIAM Review **51**(3)(2009), 455-500.
- Input: **triadic L-context** = data table $\langle X, Y, Z, I \rangle$ where X, Y , and Z are non-empty sets, and I is a ternary fuzzy relation between X, Y , and Z , i.e. $I : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow L$.
- X, Y , and Z are interpreted as the sets of objects, attributes, and conditions, respectively; $I(x, y, z)$ is interpreted as the degree to which object x has attribute y under condition z . We may also say that $I(x, y, z)$ is the degree to which x, y, z are related.

- For every $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and a fuzzy set $A_k \in L^{X_k}$, a triadic L-context $\mathbf{K} = \langle X_1, X_2, X_3, I \rangle$ induces a dyadic L-context

$$\mathbf{K}_{A_k}^{ij} = \langle X_i, X_j, I_{A_k}^{ij} \rangle$$

in which the fuzzy relation $I_{A_k}^{ij}$ between X_i and X_j is defined by

$$I_{A_k}^{ij}(x_i, x_j) = \bigwedge_{x_k \in X_k} (A_k(x_k) \rightarrow I\{x_i, x_j, x_k\}) \quad (1)$$

for every $x_i \in X_i$ and $x_j \in X_j$.

- The **concept-forming operators** induced by $\mathbf{K}_{A_k}^{ij}$ are $(i, j, A_k) : L^{X_i} \rightarrow L^{X_j}$ defined by

$$A_i^{(i, j, A_k)}(x_j) = \bigwedge_{x_i \in X_i} A_i(x_i) \rightarrow I_{A_k}^{ij}(x_i, x_j). \quad (2)$$

- A **triadic L-concept**: a triplet $\langle A_1, A_2, A_3 \rangle$ consisting of fuzzy sets $A_1 \in L^{X_1}$, $A_2 \in L^{X_2}$, and $A_3 \in L^{X_3}$, such that for every $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have

$$A_i = A_j^{(i, j, A_k)}, A_j = A_k^{(j, k, A_i)}, \text{ and } A_k = A_i^{(k, i, A_j)}.$$

- The set of all triadic fuzzy concepts contained in the input is called a **concept trilattice**, and it is considered as an output of TCA.

Axiomatization

- We provide axiomatization of concept-forming operators induced by triadic fuzzy contexts
- Triadic L-context $\langle X_1, X_2, X_3, I \rangle$ induces three operators $(i)_I : L^{X_j} \times L^{X_k} \rightarrow L^{X_i}$ for $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ which are defined by $(A_j, A_k)^{(i)_I} = A_j^{(j, i, A_k)}$ for any $A_j \in L^{X_j}$ and $A_k \in L^{X_k}$.

(3)

Fuzzy Triadic Galois Connection

- Let K be an order filter in (L, \leq) .
- A **triadic L_K -Galois connection** between sets X_1, X_2 , and X_3 is a triplet $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ of mappings $(1) : L^{X_2} \times L^{X_3} \rightarrow L^{X_1}$, $(2) : L^{X_1} \times L^{X_3} \rightarrow L^{X_2}$, $(3) : L^{X_1} \times L^{X_2} \rightarrow L^{X_3}$, such that if at least one of the following holds $S(A_3, (A_1, A_2)^{(3)}) \in K$, $S(A_1, (A_2, A_3)^{(1)}) \in K$, $S(A_2, (A_1, A_3)^{(2)}) \in K$, then $S(A_3, (A_1, A_2)^{(3)}) = S(A_1, (A_2, A_3)^{(1)}) = S(A_2, (A_1, A_3)^{(2)})$.

- Alternative definition: for $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ the following holds

- $A_i \subseteq (A_j, (A_i, A_j)^{(k)})^{(i)}$ (extensivity),
- if $S(A_i, A'_i) \in K$ then $S(A_i, A'_i) \leq S((A'_i, A_j)^{(k)}, (A_i, A_j)^{(k)})$ (antitony).

- One-to-one correspondence to ternary fuzzy relations:** Let $I \in L^{X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3}$. Let $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ be a triadic L_L -Galois connection between X_1, X_2 , and X_3 and define a ternary relation $I_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle} \in L^{X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3}$ by

$$I_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\{1/x_1\}, \{1/x_2\})^{(3)},$$

then

- the triplet $\langle (1)_I, (2)_I, (3)_I \rangle$ forms a triadic L_L -Galois connection,
- $I = I_{\langle (1)_I, (2)_I, (3)_I \rangle}$,
- $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle = \langle (1)_{I_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}}, (2)_{I_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}}, (3)_{I_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}} \rangle$.

Cartesian representation

- We utilize the following mappings. For a fuzzy set $A \in L^U$ put

$$\lfloor A \rfloor = \{ \langle u, a \rangle \in U \times L \mid a \leq A(u) \};$$

For an ordinary set $B \subseteq U \times L$, define a fuzzy set $\lceil B \rceil$ in U by

$$\lceil B \rceil(u) = \bigvee_{\langle u, a \rangle \in B} a.$$

$\lfloor A \rfloor$ may be thought of as the area below A while $\lceil B \rceil$ may be thought of as an upper envelope of B .

- An (ordinary) triadic Galois connection $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ between $X_1 \times L, X_2 \times L, X_3 \times L$ is called **commutative with respect to $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor, \lceil \cdot \rceil$** iff $(\lfloor \lceil A_i \rceil \rfloor, \lfloor \lceil A_j \rceil \rfloor)^{(k)} = \lfloor \lceil (A_i, A_j) \rceil \rfloor^{(k)}$ holds for any $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and any sets $A_1 \in X_1 \times L, A_2 \in X_2 \times L$, and $A_3 \in X_3 \times L$.

- For a triadic Galois connection $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ between $X_1 \times L, X_2 \times L, X_3 \times L$, and fuzzy sets $A_i \in L^{X_i}, A_j \in L^{X_j}$, and $A_k \in L^{X_k}$ we define mappings $(i)_{(j)} : L^{X_j} \times L^{X_k} \rightarrow L^{X_i}$ by

$$(A_j, A_k)^{(i)_{(j)}} = \lfloor \lceil (A_j, A_k) \rceil \rfloor^{(i)} \quad (5)$$

- Let $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ be a triadic L-Galois connection between X_1, X_2 , and X_3 . Then for sets $A_i \in X_i \times L, A_j \in X_j \times L$, and $A_k \in X_k \times L$, we define mappings $(i)_{(j)} : (X_j \times L) \times (X_k \times L) \rightarrow X_i \times L$ by

$$(A_j, A_k)^{(i)_{(j)}} = \lfloor \lceil (A_j, A_k) \rceil \rfloor^{(i)} \quad (6)$$

- Representation theorem**

Let $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ be a triadic $L_{\{1\}}$ -Galois connection between X_1, X_2 , and X_3 and $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ be a triadic Galois connection between $X_1 \times L, X_2 \times L$, and $X_3 \times L$. Then the following holds:

- $\langle (1)_{(1)}, (2)_{(2)}, (3)_{(3)} \rangle$ is a triadic Galois connection commutative with respect to $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor, \lceil \cdot \rceil$.
- $\langle (1)_{(1)}, (2)_{(2)}, (3)_{(3)} \rangle$ is a triadic $L_{\{1\}}$ -Galois connection.
- The map $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle \mapsto \langle (1)_{(1)}, (2)_{(2)}, (3)_{(3)} \rangle$ is an one-to-one map between the set of all triadic $L_{\{1\}}$ -Galois connections between X_1, X_2 , and X_3 and the set of all triadic Galois connections between $X_1 \times L, X_2 \times L, X_3 \times L$ that are commutative with respect to $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor, \lceil \cdot \rceil$.

- Application: allowing results known from the ordinary setting to be transferred the fuzzy setting, e.g. theorem saying that every fuzzy concept trilattice is isomorphic to some ordinary concept trilattice via a natural isomorphism

Cut-like representation

- Inspired by the notion of an a -cut of a fuzzy set
- An a -cut of fuzzy set $A \in L^U$ is the ordinary subset of U defined by ${}^a A = \{u \in U \mid a \leq A(u)\}$.

- A system $\{\langle (1)_a, (2)_a, (3)_a \rangle \mid a \in L\}$ of (ordinary) triadic Galois connections is called **L-nested** iff

- for each $a, b \in L$ such that $a \leq b$, and $A_i \in L^{X_i}, A_j \in L^{X_j}$ it holds $(A_i, A_j)^{(k)_a} \supseteq (A_i, A_j)^{(k)_b}$

- for all $x_i \in X_i, x_j \in X_j, x_k \in X_k$ the set $\{a \in L \mid x_i \in \{x_j\}, \{x_k\}^{(i)_a}\}$ has a greatest element.

- For a triadic L-Galois connection $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle$ between X_1, X_2 , and X_3 denote

$$\mathcal{C}_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle} = \{\langle (1)_a, (2)_a, (3)_a \rangle \mid a \in L\}.$$

- For an L-nested system $\{\langle (1)_a, (2)_a, (3)_a \rangle \mid a \in L\}$ of triadic Galois connections between X_1, X_2 , and X_3 denote by $\langle (1)_c, (2)_c, (3)_c \rangle$ the mappings defined for $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $A_i \in L^{X_i}, A_j \in L^{X_j}$ by

$$(A_i, A_j)^{(k)_c}(x_k) = \bigvee \{a \mid x_k \in \bigcap_{b, c \in L} \langle (1)_b, (2)_b, (3)_b \rangle^{(k)_a}\}.$$

- Representation theorem**

- $\mathcal{C}_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}$ is an L-nested system of triadic Galois connections,

- $\langle (1)_c, (2)_c, (3)_c \rangle$ is a triadic L-Galois connection,

- $\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle = \langle (1)_{\mathcal{C}_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}}, (2)_{\mathcal{C}_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}}, (3)_{\mathcal{C}_{\langle (1), (2), (3) \rangle}} \rangle$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\langle (1)_c, (2)_c, (3)_c \rangle}$, i.e. the mappings between the sets of all triadic L-Galois connections and all nested systems of triadic Galois connections are mutually inverse bijections.

Summary

- Axiomatic characterization of fuzzy triadic galois connections

- Two ways to represent them by ordinary Galois connections